

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**
7 **AT TACOMA**

8 NICK HARLEY, derivatively on behalf of
9 ZOOMINFO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 HENRY SCHUCK, CAMERON HYZER,
13 KEITH ENRIGHT, ASHLEY EVANS,
14 ALISON GLEESON, MARK MADER,
15 PATRICK MCCARTER, D. RANDALL
16 WINN, TODD CROCKETT, and MITESH
17 DHRUV,

18 Defendants,

19 and

20 ZOOMINFO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

21 Nominal Defendant.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

No. 3:24-cv-5987

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

VERIFIED SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Nick Harley (“Plaintiff”), by Plaintiff’s undersigned attorneys, derivatively and on behalf of Nominal Defendant ZoomInfo Technologies, Inc. (“ZoomInfo” or the “Company”) files this Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint against defendants Henry Schuck (“Schuck”), Cameron Hyzer (“Hyzer”), Keith Enright (“Enright”), Ashley Evans (“Evans”), Alison Gleeson (“Gleeson”), Mark Mader (“Mader”), Patrick McCarter (“McCarter”), D. Randall Winn (“Winn”), Todd Crockett (“Crockett”), and Mitesh Dhruv (“Dhruv”) (collectively, the “Individual Defendants”, and together with ZoomInfo, the “Defendants”) for breaches of their fiduciary duties as directors and/or officers of ZoomInfo, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, and for violations of Sections 14(a), 10(b), and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and against Defendants Schuck and Hyzer for contribution under Sections 10(b) and 21D of the Exchange Act. As for Plaintiff’s complaint against the Individual Defendants, Plaintiff alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s own acts, and information and belief as to all other matters, based upon, *inter alia*, the investigation conducted by and through Plaintiff’s attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of the Defendants’ public documents, conference calls and announcements made by Defendants, United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filings, wire and press releases published by and regarding ZoomInfo, legal filings, news reports, securities analysts’ reports and advisories about the Company, and information readily obtainable on the Internet. Plaintiff believes that substantial evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a shareholder derivative action that seeks to remedy wrongdoing committed by the directors and officers of ZoomInfo from November 10, 2020 to August 5, 2024, both dates inclusive (the “Relevant Period”).

2. ZoomInfo is a Delaware-incorporated international software and data company that

1 provides sales and marketing teams with customer analytics and intelligence. The Company's main
2 product is its data platform, which provides clients with customer contact and other business
3 information. Through this platform, ZoomInfo's customers are supposedly able to sell and market
4 more effectively through enabling them to target organizations and personnel with ideal timing
5 and messaging.

6 3. The Company provides clients access to its platform through subscription contracts
7 that are not able to be canceled for anywhere from one to three years. The price of a subscription
8 is dependent upon the number of users (or "seats") who would be granted access to the Company's
9 applications, the functionality levels sought by a client, and the amount of data that is ultimately
10 utilized. The Company's revenue is recognized ratably over the life of the contract, starting with
11 when platform is first made available to the customer. Under the Company's regular billing terms,
12 customers are required to pay for services at the beginning of each period (whether it be annual,
13 semi-annual, or quarterly).

14 4. Throughout the Relevant Period, the Company claimed to have a strong customer
15 base of approximately 35,000 companies, ranging from small businesses to large enterprises.
16 Further, this customer base was supposedly "diversified" such that no single customer accounted
17 for more than 1% of annual revenues.

18 5. The supposed results of this customer base was strong financials quarter after
19 quarter. For example, on November 10, 2020, the Company issued a press release (the "Q3 2020
20 Earnings Release") announcing the Company's financial results for the third quarter of the fiscal
21 year ended December 31, 2020 (the "2020 Fiscal Year"). The Q3 2020 Earnings Release revealed
22 that the Company's quarterly revenues had grown year-over-year to \$123 million, a 56% increase
23 from the prior year's third quarter revenue of \$79 million. In addition, the Q3 2020 Earnings
24 Release announced the Company's operating income grew to \$18 million year-over-year, a 41%
25 increase from the prior year's third quarter operating income of \$13 million. Lastly, the Q3 2020
26 Earnings Release revealed that the Company ended the quarter with at least 720 customers who
27

1 had annual contract values (“ACVs”) of at least \$100,000.

2 6. During the Relevant Period, the Individual Defendants breached their fiduciary
3 duties by personally making and/or causing the Company to make to the investing public a series
4 of materially false and misleading statements regarding the business, operations, and prospects of
5 the Company. Specifically, the Individual Defendants willfully or recklessly made and/or caused
6 the Company to make false and misleading statements that failed to disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1)
7 the Company’s financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of the COVID-19
8 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company’s customers had less need for its
9 platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted to significantly
10 reduce their use of the Company’s platform, or completely stop using the platform; (4) to avoid
11 this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive auto-renewal
12 policies that required clients to give at least 60 days’ notice prior to the end of a contract term for
13 non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company’s customer relations were irreparably harmed to the
14 detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls. As a result of
15 the foregoing, the Company’s public statements were materially false and misleading at all
16 relevant times.

17 7. On August 5, 2024, the truth emerged when ZoomInfo issued a press release (the
18 “Q2 2024 Earnings Release”) that revealed the Company’s financial performance for the second
19 quarter of the fiscal year ending December 31, 2024 (the “2024 Fiscal Year”). In relevant part, the
20 Q2 2024 Earnings Release revealed that the Company was taking on a \$33 million charge as the
21 result of non-payments from its customers. As such, the Company was forced to implement a “new
22 business risk model” to help it reduce write-offs. As a result of this new model, the Q2 2024
23 Earnings Release revealed that the Company was amending its operation procedures to require
24 payments up-front from small businesses, an indication that many of these smaller customers had
25 been unable to afford the Company’s product. This also resulted in a reduction in the Company’s
26 guidance for the 2024 Fiscal Year.

1 8. On this news, the price of the Company's common stock fell \$9.80 per share, or
2 approximately 55%, from its closing price of \$17.81 per share on August 5, 2024, to close at \$8.01
3 per share on August 6, 2024.

4 9. The Individual Defendants failed to correct and/or caused the Company to fail to
5 correct these false and misleading statements and omissions of material fact, rendering them
6 personally liable to the Company for breaching their fiduciary duties.

7 10. In addition, during the Relevant Period, the Individual Defendants breached their
8 fiduciary duties by causing ZoomInfo to repurchase its own stock at prices that were artificially
9 inflated due to the foregoing misrepresentations. Indeed, between December 2020 and July 2024,
10 approximately 45 million shares of ZoomInfo common stock were repurchased, costing the
11 Company over \$729.4 million. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01 per share,
12 the price at which it was trading when markets closed on August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid
13 for repurchases of its own stock by over \$368.9 million in total.

14 11. Additionally, in breach of their fiduciary duties, the Individual Defendants willfully
15 or recklessly caused the Company to fail to maintain adequate internal controls.

16 12. The Company has been substantially damaged as a result of the Individual
17 Defendants' knowing or highly reckless breaches of fiduciary duty and other misconduct.

18 13. Moreover, five of the Individual Defendants breached their fiduciary duties by
19 engaging in lucrative insider trading while the Company's stock was artificially inflated as a result
20 of the Individual Defendants' false and misleading statements discussed herein, reaping personal
21 profits of approximately \$4.6 billion.

22 14. The Individual Defendants' misconduct has subjected the Company, its former
23 Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), and its former Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") to being named
24 as defendants in a federal securities fraud class action lawsuit pending in the United States District
25 Court for the Western District of Washington (the "Securities Class Action").

26 15. Moreover, the Company must undertake internal investigations and needs to
27

1 implement adequate internal controls over its financial reporting.

2 16. Due to the Individual Defendants' breaches of fiduciary duties, the Company has
3 been and will continue to be forced to expend millions of dollars.

4 17. In light of the breaches of fiduciary duty engaged in by the Individual Defendants,
5 of the collective engagement in fraud and misconduct by the Company's directors, of their being
6 beholden to one another and to various of the Individual Defendants, of their receipt of material
7 personal benefits as a result of the Individual Defendants' misconduct, of the substantial likelihood
8 of the directors' liability in this derivative action and of Defendants Schuck's and Hyzer's liability
9 in the Securities Class Action, and of their not being disinterested and/or independent directors, a
10 majority of the Company's Board cannot consider a demand to commence litigation against
11 themselves on behalf of the Company with the requisite level of disinterestedness and
12 independence.

13 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

14 18. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because
15 Plaintiff's claims raise a federal question under Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §
16 78n(a)(1), Rule 14a-9 of the Exchange Act, 17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-9, Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the
17 Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78t(a) and 78t-1), and SEC Rule 10b-5 (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-
18 5) promulgated thereunder, and Section 21D of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)).
19 Plaintiff's claims also raise a federal question pertaining to the claims made in the Securities Class
20 Action based on violations of the Exchange Act.

21 19. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant
22 to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

23 20. This derivative action is not a collusive action to confer jurisdiction on a court of
24 the United States that it would not otherwise have.

25 21. The Court has personal jurisdiction over each of the Defendants because each
26 Defendant is either a corporation conducting business and maintaining operations in this District,
27

1 or he or she is an individual who is a citizen of Washington or who has minimum contacts with
2 this District to justify the exercise of jurisdiction over them.

3 22. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1401 because a
4 substantial portion of the transactions and wrongs complained of herein occurred in this District,
5 one or more of the Defendants either resides or maintains executive offices in this District,
6 Defendants have conducted business in this District, and Defendants' actions have had an effect
7 in this District.

8 **PARTIES**

9 **Plaintiff**

10 23. Plaintiff is a current shareholder of ZoomInfo. Plaintiff has continuously owned
11 ZoomInfo common stock since first receiving the stock on June 3, 2021.

12 **Nominal Defendant ZoomInfo**

13 24. ZoomInfo is a Delaware corporation with its principal executive offices at 805
14 Broadway Street, Suite 900, Vancouver, WA 98660. ZoomInfo's common stock trades on the
15 Nasdaq Global Select Market ("NASDAQ") under the ticker symbol "ZI."

16 **Defendant Schuck**

17 25. Defendant Schuck has served as ZoomInfo's CEO and Chairman of the Board since
18 co-founding the Company in November 2019. According to the Schedule 14A the Company filed
19 with the SEC on March 29, 2024 (the "2024 Proxy Statement"), as of March 15, 2024, Defendant
20 Schuck beneficially owned 26,999,547 total shares of the Company's common stock, which
21 represents 7.2% of shares beneficially owned. Given that the price per share of the Company's
22 common stock at the close of trading on March 15, 2024 was \$15.99, Defendant Schuck owned
23 approximately \$431.7 million worth of ZoomInfo's common stock as of that date.

24 26. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2023 (the "2023 Fiscal Year"), Defendant
25 Schuck received \$4,973,764 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of
26 \$550,000 in salary, \$4,412,514 in stock awards, and \$11,250 in all other compensation. For the
27

fiscal year ended December 31, 2022 (the “2022 Fiscal Year”), Defendant Schuck received \$834,971 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$549,460 in salary, \$275,261 in non-equity incentive plan compensation, and \$10,250 in all other compensation. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2021 (the “2021 Fiscal Year”), Defendant Schuck received \$1,309,234 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$538,880 in salary, \$760,604 in non-equity incentive plan compensation, and \$9,750 in all other compensation. For the 2020 Fiscal Year, Defendant Schuck received \$4,853,682 in total compensation from the Company, which included \$474,832 in salary, \$3,765,600 in stock awards, \$603,500 in non-equity incentive plan compensation, and \$9,750 in all other compensation.

27. During the Relevant Period, while the Company’s stock price was artificially inflated and before the scheme was exposed, Defendant Schuck made the following sales of Company common stock:

Date	Number of Shares	Avg. Price/Share	Proceeds
12/15/2020	400,000	\$41.59	\$16,638,000
1/15/2021	291,708	\$46.15	\$13,462,324
1/19/2021	108,292	\$47.02	\$5,091,889
1/26/2021	20,633	\$50.04	\$1,032,475
1/27/2021	71,599	\$50.02	\$3,581,381
2/1/2021	407,768	\$50.69	\$20,669,759
3/15/2021	225,750	\$47.90	\$10,812,296
3/16/2021	174,250	\$49.09	\$8,553,932
4/15/2021	262,665	\$48.51	\$12,742,667
4/16/2021	137,335	\$47.38	\$6,506,932
5/14/2021	400,000	\$40.14	\$16,056,000
6/15/2021	400,000	\$45.40	\$18,158,000
7/15/2021	264,580	\$49.68	\$13,144,334
7/16/2021	135,420	\$50.17	\$6,794,698
8/4/2021	786,607	\$60.26	\$47,398,577
8/5/2021	213,393	\$61.35	\$13,092,300
8/6/2021	3,286,639	\$54.75	\$179,943,485
8/11/2021	2,545,328	\$62.00	\$157,810,336
8/16/2021	400,000	\$60.49	\$24,195,600
9/2/2021	386,020	\$62.00	\$23,933,240
9/15/2021	400,000	\$66.68	\$26,673,200
11/16/2021	929,327	\$76.08	\$70,701,339
11/17/2021	370,673	\$76.73	\$28,441,739

11/23/2021	1,300,000	\$70.34	\$91,447,199
11/30/2021	1,127,028	\$66.02	\$74,404,134
3/18/2022	31,328	\$60.00	\$1,879,773
3/23/2022	114,584	\$60.15	\$6,892,571
3/31/2022	20,380	\$60.02	\$1,223,187
4/1/2022	245,588	\$60.08	\$14,753,699
4/4/2022	188,120	\$60.62	\$11,403,834
5/18/2022	254,102	\$42.89	\$10,899,451
5/19/2022	45,898	\$42.24	\$1,938,639
6/3/2022	48,264	\$42.05	\$2,029,549
6/6/2022	143,761	\$42.77	\$6,148,514
2/8/2023	1,041,667	\$28.66	\$29,858,342
6/16/2023	2,083,334	\$26.39	\$54,975,017

Thus, in total, before the fraud was exposed, he sold 19,262,041 shares of Company common stock on inside information, for which he received approximately \$1 billion in proceeds. His insider sales, made with knowledge of material nonpublic information before the material misstatements and omissions were exposed, demonstrate his motive in facilitating and participating in the scheme.

28. The 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following about Defendant Schuck:

Mr. Schuck has served as Chief Executive Officer, Chairman of the Board and a director of ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. since its formation in November 2019 and served as Chief Executive Officer and a director of ZoomInfo Holdings LLC (formerly known as DiscoverOrg Holdings, LLC) since founding it in 2007. Prior to founding ZoomInfo Holdings LLC, Mr. Schuck was VP of Research & Marketing at iProfile, a sales intelligence firm focused on the IT market. Mr. Schuck serves on the Board of Directors of Tegus, a leading market intelligence platform. Mr. Schuck is a cum laude graduate of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas with a B.S. in Business Administration and a B.S. in Hospitality Management and holds a J.D., cum laude, from The Ohio State University Moritz College of Law.

Nomination considerations: Mr. Schuck's perspective and the experience he brings as our co-founder and CEO.

Defendant Hyzer

29. Defendant Hyzer served as the Company's CFO from November 2019 until October 2024. According to the 2024 Proxy Statement, as of March 15, 2024, Defendant Hyzer beneficially owned 1,294,887 total shares of the Company's common stock. Given that the price

per share of the Company's common stock at the close of trading on March 15, 2024 was \$15.99, Defendant Hyzer owned approximately \$20.7 million worth of ZoomInfo's common stock as of that date.

30. For the 2023 Fiscal Year, Defendant Hyzer received \$573,250 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$562,000 in salary and \$11,250 in all other compensation. For the 2022 Fiscal Year, Defendant Hyzer received \$17,936,399 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$552,750 in salary, \$17,200,052 in stock awards, \$173,347 in non-equity incentive plan compensation, and \$10,250 in all other compensation. For the 2021 Fiscal Year, Defendant Hyzer received \$4,057,761 in total compensation from the Company, which included \$518,750 in salary, \$3,000,049 in stock awards, \$529,212 in non-equity incentive plan compensation, and \$9,750 in all other compensation.

31. During the Relevant Period, while the Company's stock price was artificially inflated and before the scheme was exposed, Defendant Hyzer made the following sales of Company common stock:

Date	Number of Shares	Avg. Price/Share	Proceeds
12/22/2020	90,950	\$45.25	\$4,115,214
3/1/2021	18,497	\$55.33	\$1,023,494
3/2/2021	9,290	\$56.25	\$522,562
6/1/2021	18,174	\$43.08	\$782,935
8/4/2021	9,292	\$58.05	\$539,428
8/9/2021	28,140	\$61.35	\$1,726,360
9/15/2021	300,000	\$66.89	\$20,067,600
11/17/2021	15,000	\$76.74	\$1,151,115
12/17/2021	15,000	\$60.35	\$905,250
3/18/2022	35,893	\$60.00	\$2,153,687
3/23/2022	9,107	\$60.02	\$546,574
10/10/2022	5,000	\$43.88	\$219,415
5/3/2023	10,000	\$22.09	\$220,900
7/5/2023	10,000	\$25.46	\$254,600
6/13/2024	7,500	\$12.78	\$95,850
7/9/2024	7,500	\$12.37	\$92,775

Thus, in total, before the fraud was exposed, he sold 589,343 shares of Company common stock on inside information, for which he received approximately \$34.4 million in proceeds. His insider

1 sales, made with knowledge of material nonpublic information before the material misstatements
2 and omissions were exposed, demonstrate his motive in facilitating and participating in the
3 scheme.

4 32. The 2024 Proxy Statement states the following about Defendant Hyzer:

5 Mr. Hyzer has served as Chief Financial Officer of ZoomInfo Holdings LLC since
6 2018 and as Chief Financial Officer of ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. since its
7 formation in November 2019. Prior to joining ZoomInfo Holdings LLC, Mr. Hyzer
8 served as the Chief Financial Officer and an Executive Managing Director of Eze
9 Software Group LLC, a global provider of order management and investment
10 technology to hedge funds and asset managers, from 2013 to 2018 through its sale
11 to SS&C Technologies, Inc. Prior to that, Mr. Hyzer served as Managing Director,
12 Controller and Treasurer of ConverEx Group, a provider of global agency
13 brokerage and investment technology, from 2007 to 2013 and Vice President of
14 Finance at Eze Castle Software from 2005 to 2007. Earlier in his career, Mr. Hyzer
15 served in executive and financial roles at other software and information
16 companies, including Thomson Financial and Cramer Systems, and started his
17 career in investment banking and private equity at Broadview International LLC
18 and Broadview Capital Partners, LLC. Mr. Hyzer holds a B.S. in Economics from
19 the University of Pennsylvania Wharton School and a B.S. in Electrical
20 Engineering from the University of Pennsylvania School of Engineering and
21 Applied Science. Mr. Hyzer is also a CFA® charterholder.

22 **Defendant Enright**

23 33. Defendant Enright has served as a Company director since March 2020. He also
24 serves as Chair of the Privacy, Security and Technology Committee and as a member of the Audit
25 Committee. According to the 2024 Proxy Statement, as of March 15, 2024, Defendant Enright
26 beneficially owned 20,535 total shares of the Company's common stock. Given that the price per
27 share of the Company's common stock at the close of trading on March 15, 2024 was \$15.99,
28 Defendant Enright owned approximately \$328,355 worth of ZoomInfo's common stock as of that
date.

29 34. For the 2023 Fiscal Year, Defendant Enright received \$277,316 in total
30 compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$75,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and
31 \$202,316 in stock awards. For the 2022 Fiscal Year, Defendant Enright received \$272,541 in total
32 compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$72,500 in fees earned or paid in cash and
33 \$200,041 in stock awards. For the 2021 Fiscal Year, Defendant Enright received \$265,014 in total

compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$65,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$200,014 in stock awards. For the 2020 Fiscal Year, Defendant Enright received \$357,506 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$57,500 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$300,006 in stock awards.

35. During the Relevant Period, while the Company's stock price was artificially inflated and before the scheme was exposed, Defendant Enright made the following sales of Company common stock:

Date	Number of Shares	Avg. Price/Share	Proceeds
8/16/2021	555	\$62.00	\$34,410.00
11/15/2021	555	\$74.92	\$41,580.00
3/5/2022	555	\$52.95	\$29,387.00
8/3/2022	555	\$45.00	\$24,975.00

Thus, in total, before the fraud was exposed, he sold 2,220 shares of Company common stock on inside information, for which he received approximately \$130,352 in proceeds. His insider sales, made with knowledge of material nonpublic information before the material misstatements and omissions were exposed, demonstrate his motive in facilitating and participating in the scheme.

36. The 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following about Defendant Enright:

Mr. Enright has served as a member of the Board of Directors of ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. since March 2020 and served as a member of the Board of Managers of ZoomInfo Holdings LLC from March 2020 to June 2020. Mr. Enright currently serves as the Chief Privacy Officer of Google LLC, a multinational technology company that specializes in Internet-related services and products, which include online advertising technologies, a search engine, cloud computing, software, and hardware. Prior to joining Google in 2011, Mr. Enright was the Chief Privacy Officer and Vice President, Privacy of Macy's Inc. Mr. Enright holds a Bachelor of Arts Degree from the University of Massachusetts at Amherst and a Juris Doctor degree from The George Washington University Law School.

Nomination considerations: Mr. Enright's extensive experience with data privacy, including as Chief Privacy Officer for Google LLC, and his significant core business skills.

Defendant Evans

37. Defendant Evans has served as a Company director since February 2020. She also serves as Chair of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee.

According to the 2024 Proxy Statement, as of March 15, 2024, Defendant Evans beneficially owned 4,499 total shares of the Company's common stock. Given that the price per share of the Company's common stock at the close of trading on March 15, 2024 was \$15.99, Defendant Evans owned approximately \$71,939 worth of ZoomInfo's common stock as of that date.

38. For the 2023 Fiscal Year, Defendant Evans received \$262,316 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$60,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$202,316 in stock awards. For the 2022 Fiscal Year, Defendant Evans received \$189,686 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$22,998 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$166,688 in stock awards.

39. The 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following about Defendant Evans:

Ms. Evans has served as a member of the Board of Directors of ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. since February 2020 and served as a member of the Board of Managers of ZoomInfo Holdings LLC from 2018 to June 2020. Ms. Evans is a Partner at Francisco Partners, a leading global investment firm that partners with technology businesses. Previously she was a Partner at The Carlyle Group. She invests in enterprise software businesses and currently serves on the board of Greenslate, Macrobond, PayScale, SourceScrub, and Litmos and has previously served on the boards of HireVue, Jagex, NEOGOV, Saama, TriNetX and Veritas. She is a founder of SynGAP Research Fund, a public charity focused on improving the lives of SynGAP patients through the research and development of precision treatments. Ms. Evans holds an A.B. summa cum laude from Harvard College, where she was elected to Phi Beta Kappa, an M.Phil from the University of Cambridge, where she was a Knox Scholar, and a M.B.A from Stanford University's Graduate School of Business, where she was a Siebel Scholar and an Arjay Miller Scholar.

Nomination considerations: Ms. Evans' significant core business skills, including financial and strategic planning, and her extensive management experience, including her involvement with Francisco Partners and Carlyle.

Defendant Gleeson

40. Defendant Gleeson has served as a Company director since July 2022. She also serves as a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. According to the 2024 Proxy Statement, as of March 15, 2024, Defendant Gleeson beneficially owned 5,516 total shares of the Company's common stock. Given that the price per share of the Company's common

1 stock at the close of trading on March 15, 2024 was \$15.99, Defendant Gleeson owned
2 approximately \$88,201 worth of ZoomInfo's common stock as of that date.

3 41. For the 2023 Fiscal Year, Defendant Gleeson received \$256,316 in total
4 compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$54,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and
5 \$202,316 in stock awards. For the 2022 Fiscal Year, Defendant Gleeson received \$210,352 in total
6 compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$27,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and
7 \$183,352 in stock awards.

8 42. The 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following about Defendant Gleeson:

9 Ms. Gleeson has served as a member of the Board of Directors of ZoomInfo
10 Technologies Inc. since July 2022. She currently also serves on the boards of
11 directors of publicly traded SaaS companies Elastic N.V, since January 2020, and
12 8x8, Inc., since August 2021, as a Special Advisor and Portfolio Committee
13 Member at Brighton Park Capital, an investment firm, since October 2019, and on
14 The Eli Broad College of Business Advisory Board of Directors for her alma mater,
15 Michigan State University, since June 2017. From January 1996 to October 2018,
16 Ms. Gleeson was with Cisco, where she served in various roles, most recently as
17 Senior Vice President, Americas from July 2014 to October 2018. In that role she
18 was responsible for Cisco's largest geographic region, with \$25B+ in annual sales,
19 and led 9,000 employees across 35 countries. Ms. Gleeson is also a highly-regarded
20 international speaker on the drivers for digital disruption across industries, the role
21 of technology in enabling business transformation, and empowering women in
22 technology. Ms. Gleeson holds a B.S. in Finance and Marketing from Michigan
23 State University.

24 Nomination considerations: Ms. Gleeson's extensive enterprise sales and
25 marketing experience, including her leadership roles and go-to-market experience
26 at a large public company.

27 **Defendant Mader**

28 43. Defendant Mader has served as a Company director since February 2020. He also
serves as a member of the Audit Committee. According to the 2024 Proxy Statement, as of March
15, 2024, Defendant Mader beneficially owned 11,841 total shares of the Company's common
stock. Given that the price per share of the Company's common stock at the close of trading on
March 15, 2024 was \$15.99, Defendant Mader owned approximately \$189,338 worth of
ZoomInfo's common stock as of that date.

44. For the 2023 Fiscal Year, Defendant Mader received \$262,316 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$60,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$202,316 in stock awards. For the 2022 Fiscal Year, Defendant Mader received \$260,041 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$60,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$200,041 in stock awards. For the 2021 Fiscal Year, Defendant Mader received \$260,014 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$60,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$200,014 in stock awards. For the 2020 Fiscal Year, Defendant Mader received \$429,370 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$60,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$369,370 in stock awards.

45. During the Relevant Period, while the Company's stock price was artificially inflated and before the scheme was exposed, Defendant Mader made the following sales of Company common stock:

Date	Number of Shares	Avg. Price/Share	Proceeds
12/15/2021	7,500	\$60.49	\$453,705.00
5/4/2022	5,079	\$51.33	\$260,684.00
6/13/2024	3,112	\$12.78	\$39,771.00

Thus, in total, before the fraud was exposed, he sold 15,691 shares of Company common stock on inside information, for which he received approximately \$754,160 in proceeds. His insider sales, made with knowledge of material nonpublic information before the material misstatements and omissions were exposed, demonstrate his motive in facilitating and participating in the scheme.

46. The 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following about Defendant Mader:

Mr. Mader has served as a member of the Board of Directors of ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. since February 2020 and served as a member of the Board of Managers of ZoomInfo Holdings LLC from February 2020 to June 2020. Mr. Mader currently serves as President, Chief Executive Officer and director of Smartsheet Inc., a SaaS collaboration and work management provider. Prior to joining Smartsheet Inc. in 2006, Mr. Mader served in various leadership positions from 1995 to 2005 at Onyx Software Corporation, a customer relationship management software company acquired by M2M Holdings, including as Senior Vice President of Global Services. From 1993 to 1995, Mr. Mader was a senior associate at Greenwich Associates, a financial consulting firm. Mr. Mader holds a B.A. in Geography from Dartmouth College.

Nomination considerations: Mr. Mader's extensive knowledge and experience in our industry and with SaaS companies, and his experience leading a public company as President, Chief Executive Officer and director of Smartsheet.

Defendant McCarter

47. Defendant McCarter has served as a Company director since February 2020. He also serves as Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee.

48. The 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following about Defendant McCarter:

Mr. McCarter has served as a member of the Board of Directors of ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. since February 2020 and served as a member of the Board of Managers of ZoomInfo Holdings LLC from 2018 to June 2020. Mr. McCarter is a Managing Director and Head of Global Technology. Mr. McCarter founded Carlyle's Menlo Park, CA office in 2016 and is currently based there. Since joining Carlyle in 2001, Mr. McCarter has led or been a key contributor to several Carlyle investments, including Hexaware, Abrigo, NEOGOV, Jagex, Tribute Technology, Unison, HireVue, ZoomInfo, Ampere Computing, Veritas, CommScope, Open Link Financial, Open Solutions, Freescale Semiconductor, Jazz Semiconductor, Sippican, and CPU Technology. Prior to joining Carlyle, Mr. McCarter held positions at Morgan Stanley with a focus on financial institutions in New York. Mr. McCarter serves as a director on the boards of publicly traded ZoomInfo and CommScope as well as the privately held HireVue, Veritas, Ampere Computing and Saama Technologies. He is also a member of Northwestern's McCormick School of Engineering Advisory Council. Mr. McCarter received his M.B.A. from Harvard Business School and a B.S. with a double major in industrial engineering and economics from Northwestern University.

Nomination considerations: Mr. McCarter's extensive core business skills, including financial and strategic planning, and many years of management experience at portfolio companies through his involvement with Carlyle.

Defendant Winn

49. Defendant Winn has served as a Company director since February 2020. He also serves as Chair of the Compensation Committee and as a member of the Privacy, Security and Technology Committee. According to the 2024 Proxy Statement, as of March 15, 2024, Defendant Winn beneficially owned 1,548,455 total shares of the Company's common stock. Given that the price per share of the Company's common stock at the close of trading on March 15, 2024 was

1 \$15.99, Defendant Winn owned approximately \$24.8 million worth of ZoomInfo's common stock
2 as of that date.

3 50. For the 2023 Fiscal Year, Defendant Winn received \$274,816 in total compensation
4 from the Company, which consisted of \$72,500 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$202,316 in
5 stock awards. For the 2022 Fiscal Year, Defendant Winn received \$273,166 in total compensation
6 from the Company, which consisted of \$73,125 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$200,041 in
7 stock awards.

8 51. The 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following about Defendant Winn:

9 Mr. Winn has served as a member of the board of directors of ZoomInfo
10 Technologies Inc. since February 2020 and served as a member of the Board of
11 Managers of ZoomInfo Holdings LLC from 2014 to June 2020. Mr. Winn currently
12 serves as a Managing Member of 22C Capital, a principal investment firm, which
13 he founded in 2017 and also serves as Managing Member of FiveW Capital. Mr.
14 Winn is currently a director of the following private companies: LMI, Aurora
15 Energy Research and Canoe Intelligence. Mr. Winn previously was the
16 nonexecutive chairman of Dealogic, served on the board of Definitive Healthcare
17 (NASDAQ:DH) and served on the boards of private companies such as Viteos Fund
18 Services, Merit Software, and eMarketer. Prior to founding 22C Capital, Mr. Winn
19 was a co founder of, and Co-Managing Partner and ultimately Executive Managing
20 Director/CEO of Capital IQ from 1999 to 2011. Mr. Winn holds an A.B. from the
21 Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs at Princeton
22 University.

23 Nomination considerations: Mr. Winn's deep knowledge of our industry, extensive
24 financial and business skills, including strategic planning, and his significant
25 management and leadership experience, including with CapitalIQ.

26 **Defendant Crockett**

27 52. Defendant Enright served as a Company director from February 2020 until July
28 2024. According to the 2024 Proxy Statement, as of March 15, 2024, Defendant Crockett
beneficially owned 408,093 total shares of the Company's common stock. Given that the price per
share of the Company's common stock at the close of trading on March 15, 2024 was \$15.99,
Defendant Crockett owned approximately \$6.5 million worth of ZoomInfo's common stock as of
that date.

53. During the Relevant Period, while the Company's stock price was artificially inflated and before the scheme was exposed, Defendant Crockett made the following sales of Company common stock:

Date	Number of Shares	Avg. Price/Share	Proceeds
12/4/2020	6,859,327	\$43.88	\$300,952,972
2/1/2021	424,401	\$50.37	\$21,374,956
2/2/2021	91,366	\$50.78	\$4,639,474
2/3/2021	1,734,233	\$54.03	\$93,707,545
2/4/2021	1,250,000	\$56.28	\$70,346,250
2/5/2021	568,845	\$58.05	\$33,019,176
2/8/2021	59,903	\$58.04	\$3,476,949
2/12/2021	84,425	\$58.06	\$4,901,968
2/16/2021	126,641	\$58.12	\$7,361,008
2/23/2021	754,818	\$58.90	\$44,459,535
6/23/2021	689,600	\$52.77	\$36,391,571
6/25/2021	48,599	\$53.88	\$2,618,319
6/28/2021	29,500	\$53.88	\$1,589,578
7/6/2021	40,461	\$53.91	\$2,181,454
7/13/2021	254,906	\$54.05	\$13,778,688
7/23/2021	130,662	\$53.91	\$7,043,465
7/26/2021	654,572	\$54.35	\$35,575,988
7/27/2021	59,147	\$54.36	\$3,215,112
7/28/2021	642,809	\$54.73	\$35,180,293
7/29/2021	122,130	\$54.41	\$6,645,337
8/2/2021	422,981	\$54.85	\$23,200,084
8/3/2021	3,460,757	\$59.09	\$204,482,288
8/6/2021	9,625,934	\$54.75	\$527,019,886
8/9/2021	3,620,333	\$61.10	\$221,187,864
8/11/2021	6,953,598	\$62.00	\$431,123,076
8/12/2021	214,036	\$62.55	\$13,387,523
8/13/2021	140,473	\$62.56	\$8,788,693
8/23/2021	523,587	\$62.59	\$32,771,310
8/24/2021	92,503	\$62.60	\$5,790,965
8/25/2021	251,830	\$62.65	\$15,776,142
8/26/2021	192,272	\$62.91	\$12,095,254
8/27/2021	314,966	\$62.86	\$19,798,447
8/30/2021	266,514	\$65.16	\$17,366,052
8/31/2021	652,810	\$65.21	\$42,571,698
9/1/2021	119,233	\$65.03	\$7,754,318
9/2/2021	991,362	\$66.03	\$65,459,632
9/2/2021	1,043,039	\$62.00	\$64,668,418
9/3/2021	745,860	\$65.74	\$49,030,598

1	9/7/2021	724,221	\$65.41	\$47,371,295
2	9/14/2021	228,687	\$68.02	\$15,555,747
3	9/16/2021	464,877	\$68.12	\$31,669,745
4	9/17/2021	416,609	\$68.18	\$28,402,735
5	9/21/2021	415,193	\$68.08	\$28,266,339
6	9/22/2021	1,085,545	\$68.35	\$74,198,086
7	9/23/2021	705,398	\$68.27	\$48,157,521
8	9/24/2021	70,613	\$68.00	\$4,801,895
9	9/27/2021	10,400	\$68.00	\$707,200
10	10/14/2021	196,315	\$68.03	\$13,355,309
11	10/18/2021	156,363	\$68.34	\$10,685,847
12	10/19/2021	129,021	\$70.03	\$9,035,598
13	10/20/2021	2,100	\$70.00	\$147,006
14	10/22/2021	26,194	\$70.02	\$1,834,103
15	10/25/2021	42,880	\$70.01	\$3,001,943
16	10/26/2021	290,382	\$70.11	\$20,359,843
17	11/2/2021	1,612,547	\$70.15	\$113,120,172
18	11/3/2021	30,772	\$70.00	\$2,154,040
19	11/4/2021	1,591,191	\$71.58	\$113,895,860
20	11/5/2021	1,169,784	\$73.00	\$85,394,232
21	11/8/2021	647,944	\$73.53	\$47,646,562
22	11/9/2021	58,895	\$74.11	\$4,364,826
23	11/12/2021	1,019,531	\$74.31	\$75,765,426
24	11/15/2021	262,655	\$74.19	\$19,486,111
25	11/16/2021	884,301	\$76.09	\$67,290,884
26	11/17/2021	1,109,619	\$76.81	\$85,228,725
27	11/18/2021	115,198	\$77.41	\$8,917,707
28	11/19/2021	702,567	\$78.19	\$54,934,416

54. Thus, in total, before the fraud was exposed, he sold 58,428,235 shares of Company common stock on inside information, for which he received approximately \$3.5 billion in proceeds. His insider sales, made with knowledge of material nonpublic information before the material misstatements and omissions were exposed, demonstrate his motive in facilitating and participating in the scheme.

55. The 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following about Defendant Crockett:

Mr. Crockett has served as a member of the Board of Directors of ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. since February 2020 and served as a member of the Board of Managers of ZoomInfo Holdings LLC from 2014 to June 2020. Mr. Crockett currently serves as a Managing Director of TA Associates, a private equity firm and an affiliate of the Company, which he joined in 1994, and is a member of TA Associates' Management Committee and Core Investment Committee. Mr.

Crockett also currently serves on the boards of several private companies, including MAO Corporation, Orion Adviser Solutions, Procure Software, LLC, Russell Investments, and Wealth Enhancement Group and Green Street. Mr. Crockett holds a B.A. from Princeton University and a MBA from Harvard Business School.

Nomination considerations: Mr. Crockett's extensive core business and leadership skills, including financial and strategic planning, and his significant management experience, including his involvement with TA Associates.

Defendant Dhruv

56. Defendant Dhruv served as a Company director from February 2020 until May 2024. According to the 2024 Proxy Statement, as of March 15, 2024, Defendant Dhruv beneficially owned 30,847 total shares of the Company's common stock. Given that the price per share of the Company's common stock at the close of trading on March 15, 2024 was \$15.99, Defendant Dhruv owned approximately \$493,244 worth of ZoomInfo's common stock as of that date.

57. For the 2023 Fiscal Year, Defendant Dhruv received \$277,316 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$75,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$202,316 in stock awards. For the 2022 Fiscal Year, Defendant Dhruv received \$270,041 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$70,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$200,041 in stock awards. For the 2021 Fiscal Year, Defendant Dhruv received \$270,014 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$70,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$200,014 in stock awards. For the 2020 Fiscal Year, Defendant Dhruv received \$608,736 in total compensation from the Company, which consisted of \$70,000 in fees earned or paid in cash and \$538,736 in stock awards.

58. The Schedule 14A the Company filed with the SEC on March 29, 2023 (the "2023 Proxy Statement") stated the following about Defendant Dhruv:

Mr. Dhruv has served as a member of the Board of Directors of ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. since February 2020 and served as a member of the Board of Managers of ZoomInfo Holdings LLC from February 2020 to June 2020. Mr. Dhruv most recently served as Chief Financial Officer of RingCentral, Inc., a cloud-based communications and collaboration solutions provider. Prior to joining RingCentral, Inc. in 2012, Mr. Dhruv worked at Bank of America Merrill Lynch as an equity research analyst and at PricewaterhouseCoopers. Mr. Dhruv is a CPA,

Chartered Accountant, and CFA® charterholder, and holds an undergraduate degree in accounting from the University of Mumbai, India.

Nomination considerations: Mr. Dhruv's extensive financial and accounting experience, including as the Chief Financial Officer of RingCentral and his accounting and financial certifications, his knowledge and experience in our industry and with SaaS companies, and his experience in management of a public company.

FIDUCIARY DUTIES OF THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS

59. By reason of their positions as officers and directors and/or fiduciaries of ZoomInfo and because of their ability to control the business and corporate affairs of ZoomInfo, the Individual Defendants owed ZoomInfo and its shareholders fiduciary obligations of trust, loyalty, good faith, and due care, and were and are required to use their utmost ability to control and manage ZoomInfo in a fair, just, honest, and equitable manner. The Individual Defendants were and are required to act in furtherance of the best interests of ZoomInfo and its shareholders so as to benefit all shareholders equally.

60. Each director and officer of the Company owes to ZoomInfo and its shareholders the fiduciary duty to exercise good faith and diligence in the administration of the Company and in the use and preservation of its property and assets and the highest obligations of fair dealing.

61. The Individual Defendants, because of their positions of control and authority as directors and/or officers of ZoomInfo, were able to and did, directly and/or indirectly, exercise control over the wrongful acts complained of herein.

62. To discharge their duties, the officers and directors of ZoomInfo were required to exercise reasonable and prudent supervision over the management, policies, controls, and operations of the Company.

63. Each Individual Defendant, by virtue of their position as a director and/or officer, owed to the Company and to its shareholders the highest fiduciary duties of loyalty, good faith, and the exercise of due care and diligence in the management and administration of the affairs of the Company, as well as in the use and preservation of its property and assets. The conduct of the Individual Defendants complained of herein involves a knowing and culpable violation of their

obligations as directors and officers of ZoomInfo, the absence of good faith on their part, or a reckless disregard for their duties to the Company and its shareholders that the Individual Defendants were aware or should have been aware posed a risk of serious injury to the Company. The conduct of the Individual Defendants who were also officers and directors of the Company has been ratified by the remaining Individual Defendants who collectively comprised the Company's Board at all relevant times.

64. As senior executive officers and/or directors of a publicly-traded company whose common stock was registered with the SEC pursuant to the Exchange Act and traded on the NASDAQ, the Individual Defendants had a duty to prevent and not to effect the dissemination of inaccurate and untruthful information with respect to the Company's financial condition, performance, growth, operations, financial statements, business, products, management, earnings, internal controls, and present and future business prospects, including the dissemination of false information regarding the Company's business, prospects, and operations, and had a duty to cause the Company to disclose in its regulatory filings with the SEC all those facts described in this Complaint that it failed to disclose, so that the market price of the Company's common stock would be based upon truthful and accurate information. Further, they had a duty to ensure the Company remained in compliance with all applicable laws.

65. To discharge their duties, the officers and directors of the Company were required to exercise reasonable and prudent supervision over the management, policies, practices, and internal controls of the Company. By virtue of such duties, the officers and directors of ZoomInfo were required to, among other things:

(a) ensure that the Company was operated in a diligent, honest, and prudent manner in accordance with the laws and regulations of Delaware, Washington, and the United States, and pursuant to ZoomInfo's corporate governance and applicable codes of conduct and/or ethics;

(b) conduct the affairs of the Company in an efficient, business-like manner so

1 as to make it possible to provide the highest quality performance of its business, to avoid wasting
2 the Company's assets, and to maximize the value of the Company's stock;

3 (c) remain informed as to how ZoomInfo conducted its operations, and, upon
4 receipt of notice or information of imprudent or unsound conditions or practices, to make
5 reasonable inquiry in connection therewith, and to take steps to correct such conditions or
6 practices;

7 (d) establish and maintain systematic and accurate records and reports of the
8 business and internal affairs of ZoomInfo and procedures for the reporting of the business and
9 internal affairs to the Board and to periodically investigate, or cause independent investigation to
10 be made of, said reports and records;

11 (e) maintain and implement an adequate and functioning system of internal
12 legal, financial, and management controls, such that ZoomInfo's operations would comply with
13 all applicable laws and ZoomInfo's financial statements and regulatory filings filed with the SEC
14 and disseminated to the public and the Company's shareholders would be accurate;

15 (f) exercise reasonable control and supervision over the public statements
16 made by the Company's officers and employees and any other reports or information that the
17 Company was required by law to disseminate;

18 (g) refrain from unduly benefiting themselves and other Company insiders at
19 the expense of the Company; and

20 (h) examine and evaluate any reports of examinations, audits, or other financial
21 information concerning the financial affairs of the Company and to make full and accurate
22 disclosure of all material facts concerning, *inter alia*, each of the subjects and duties set forth
23 above.

24 66. Each of the Individual Defendants further owed to ZoomInfo and the shareholders
25 the duty of loyalty requiring that each favor ZoomInfo's interest and that of its shareholders over
26 their own while conducting the affairs of the Company and refrain from using their position,
27

1 influence or knowledge of the affairs of the Company to gain personal advantage.

2 67. At all times relevant hereto, the Individual Defendants were the agents of each other
3 and of ZoomInfo and were at all times acting within the course and scope of such agency.

4 68. Because of their advisory, executive, managerial, directorial, and controlling
5 shareholder positions with ZoomInfo, each of the Individual Defendants had access to adverse,
6 nonpublic information about the Company.

7 69. The Individual Defendants, because of their positions of control and authority, were
8 able to and did, directly or indirectly, exercise control over the wrongful acts complained of herein,
9 as well as the contents of the various public statements issued by ZoomInfo.

10 **CONSPIRACY, AIDING AND ABETTING, AND CONCERTED ACTION**

11 70. In committing the wrongful acts alleged herein, the Individual Defendants have
12 pursued, or joined in the pursuit of, a common course of conduct, and have acted in concert with
13 and conspired with one another in furtherance of their wrongdoing. The Individual Defendants
14 caused the Company to conceal the true facts as alleged herein. The Individual Defendants further
15 aided and abetted and/or assisted each other in breaching their respective duties.

16 71. The purpose and effect of the conspiracy, common enterprise, and/or common
17 course of conduct was, among other things, to: (i) facilitate and disguise the Individual Defendants'
18 violations of law, including breaches of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross
19 mismanagement, and waste of corporate assets; (ii) conceal adverse information concerning the
20 Company's operations, financial condition, legal compliance, future business prospects, and
21 internal controls; and (iii) artificially inflate the Company's stock price.

22 72. The Individual Defendants accomplished their conspiracy, common enterprise,
23 and/or common course of conduct by causing the Company purposefully or recklessly to conceal
24 material facts, fail to correct such misrepresentations, and violate applicable laws. In furtherance
25 of this plan, conspiracy, and course of conduct, the Individual Defendants collectively and
26 individually took the actions set forth herein. Because the actions described herein occurred under
27

the authority of the Board, each of the Individual Defendants who is or was a director of ZoomInfo was a direct, necessary, and substantial participant in the conspiracy, common enterprise, and/or common course of conduct complained of herein.

73. Each of the Individual Defendants aided and abetted and rendered substantial assistance in the wrongs complained of herein. In taking such actions to substantially assist the commission of the wrongdoing complained of herein, each of the Individual Defendants acted with actual or constructive knowledge of the primary wrongdoing, either took direct part in, or substantially assisted in the accomplishment of that wrongdoing, and was or should have been aware of his overall contribution to and furtherance of the wrongdoing.

74. At all times relevant hereto, each of the Individual Defendants was the agent of each of the other Individual Defendants and of ZoomInfo and was at all times acting within the course and scope of such agency.

ZOOMINFO'S CODE OF CONDUCT AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

ZoomInfo's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

75. ZoomInfo's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code of Conduct") states that "[i]ntegrity, honesty and sound judgment are fundamental to the reputation and success of" the Company and that the Code is:

designed to ensure that *all directors, officers (including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller and persons performing similar functions) and employees of the Company* (collectively, "Covered Parties") not only conduct themselves lawfully at all times, but also maintain the highest ethical standards in every aspect of their business dealings and seek to avoid even the appearance of improper behavior.¹

76. Under the heading "Conflicts of Interest," the Code of Conduct states, in relevant part:

It is the Company's policy that all Covered Parties avoid any conflict between their

¹ All emphasis added unless stated otherwise.

1 personal interests and those of the Company. The purpose of this policy is to ensure
2 that the Company's honesty and integrity, and therefore its reputation, are not
3 compromised. The fundamental principle guiding this policy is that no Covered
4 Party should have, or appear to have, personal interests or relationships that actually
5 or potentially conflict with the best interests of the Company. In the case of the
6 Company's non-employee directors, compliance with this Code is subject to
7 provisions of the Company's certificate of incorporation, bylaws and any
8 stockholders agreement with the Company.

9 77. Under the heading "Confidential Information," the Code of Conduct states:

10 In the course of their participation in the work of the Company, a Covered Party
11 may obtain or have access to non-public information that might be of use to
12 competitors, or harmful to the Company or the other source of such information, if
13 disclosed. Such information may have been or may be provided in written or
14 electronic form or orally. All such information, from whatever source obtained and
15 regardless of the Company's connection to the information, is referred to herein as
16 "Confidential Information."

17 The Company is strongly committed to protecting Confidential Information,
18 whether generated within the Company or obtained from some other source. The
19 Company is also strongly committed to avoiding the misuse, or the appearance of
20 misuse, of such information, whether in connection with the trading of securities or
21 otherwise.

22 Covered Parties must maintain the confidentiality of Confidential Information,
23 except when disclosure is either expressly authorized by the Company or required
24 by law.

25 Notwithstanding the foregoing, and notwithstanding any other confidentiality or
26 non-disclosure agreement {whether in writing or otherwise, including without
27 limitation as part of an employment agreement, separation agreement or similar
28 employment or compensation arrangement) applicable to current or former
employees, this Code does not restrict any current or former employee from
communicating, cooperating or filing a complaint with any U.S. federal, state or
local governmental or law enforcement branch, agency or entity {collectively, a
"Governmental Entity") with respect to possible violations of any U.S. federal, state
or local law or regulation, or otherwise making disclosures to any Governmental
Entity, in each case, that are protected under the whistleblower provisions of any
such law or regulation, provided that {i) in each case such communications and
disclosures are consistent with applicable law and {ii) the information subject to
such disclosure was not obtained by the current or former employee through a
communication that was subject to the attorney-client privilege, unless such
disclosure of that information would otherwise be permitted by an attorney pursuant
to 17 CFR 205.3(d)(2), applicable state attorney conduct rules, or otherwise. Any
agreement in conflict with the foregoing is hereby deemed amended by the
Company to be consistent with the foregoing.

78. Under the heading "Compliance with Laws, Rules and Regulations," the Code of Conduct states that:

Obedying the law, both in letter and in spirit, is one of the foundations on which the Company's ethical standards are built. In conducting the business of the Company, Covered Parties must respect and obey the laws of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Although not all Covered Parties are expected to know the details of these laws, it is important to know enough about the applicable local, state and national laws to determine when to seek advice from the Company's General Counsel or other appropriate personnel. If a law conflicts with any Company policy or this Code, you must comply with the law. There are serious consequences for failing to follow any applicable laws, rules and regulations, including termination of service and potential criminal and civil penalties.

79. Under the heading "Inappropriate Trading," in the subsection "Prohibition Against Insider Trading," the Code of Conduct states that:

The federal securities laws prohibit any person who is in possession of material, non-public information from engaging in securities transactions on the basis of such information and from communicating such information to any other person for such use. Transacting in securities of the Company, or any other company, while you possess material, nonpublic information is known as "insider trading." "Tipping," which is also prohibited, means communicating such material, nonpublic information to another for their or its use. Any of these actions may amount to "insider trading" and are strictly prohibited.

80. Under the heading "Accuracy of Records," the Code of Conduct states that: It is the Company's policy to make full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosures in compliance with applicable laws and regulations in all reports and documents that the Company files with, or submits to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, state agencies, and in all other public communications made by the Company.

The integrity, reliability and accuracy in all material respects of the Company's books, records and financial statements are fundamental to the Company's continued and future business success. In addition, as a company whose stock is publicly traded, the Company is subject to a number of laws and regulations that govern our business records, including U.S. securities laws. The Company must record its financial activities in compliance with all applicable laws and accounting practices and provide current, complete and accurate information to any and all government agencies. No Covered Party may cause the Company to enter into a transaction with the intent to document or record it in a deceptive or unlawful manner. In addition, no Covered Party may create any false or artificial documentation or book entry for any transaction entered into by the Company. Similarly, Covered Parties who have responsibility for accounting and financial reporting matters have a responsibility to accurately record all funds, assets and

transactions on the Company's books and records.

81. Under the heading “Waivers of the Code,” the Code of Conduct states that: Any waiver of any provision of this Code for executive officers or directors of the Company must be approved by the Board of Directors or a committee of the Board of Directors of the Company and will be promptly disclosed as required by applicable securities law and/or stock exchange rules.

ZoomInfo’s Corporate Governance Guidelines

82. ZoomInfo also maintains Corporate Governance Guidelines (the “Governance Guidelines”) to “describe the principles and practices that the Board is expected to follow in carrying out its responsibilities.”

83. The Governance Guidelines state that the “Role and Responsibility of the Board” are:

The Board directs and oversees the management of the business and affairs of the Company in a manner consistent with the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. The Board’s responsibility is one of oversight, and in performing its oversight role, the Board serves as the ultimate decision-making body of the Company, except for those matters reserved for the Company’s stockholders. The Board selects and oversees the members of senior management, who are charged by the Board with conducting the business of the Company.

The Board exercises direct oversight of strategic risks to the Company, including information technology security risks. The Audit Committee reviews guidelines and policies governing the process by which senior management assesses and manages the Company’s exposure to risk, including the Company’s major financial and operational risk exposures and the steps management takes to monitor and control such exposures. The Compensation Committee oversees risks relating to the Company’s compensation policies and practices. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assists the Board by overseeing and evaluating programs and risks associated with Board organization, membership, and structure and corporate governance. Each committee charged with risk oversight reports to the Board on those matters.

84. In violation of the Code of Conduct and Governance Guidelines, the Individual Defendants (as key officers and members of the Company’s Board) caused the Company to issue materially false and misleading statements to the public, facilitated and disguised the Individual Defendant’s violations of law, including breaches of fiduciary duty, gross mismanagement, abuse of control, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, and aiding and abetting thereof. Moreover, five of the Individual Defendants violated the Code of Conduct by engaging in lucrative insider

trading, netting proceeds of approximately \$4.6 billion. Also, in violation of the Code of Conduct, the Individual Defendants failed to comply with laws and regulations and conduct business in an honest and ethical manner, and properly report violations of the Code of Conduct.

ZoomInfo's Audit Committee Charter

85. The Audit Committee Charter states that the purpose of the Audit Committee is as follows:

A. Provide assistance to the Board of Directors (the "Board of Directors") of ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. (the "Company") with respect to its oversight of:

- i. The quality and integrity of the Company's financial statements, including oversight of the Company's accounting and financial reporting processes and financial statement audits;
- ii. The Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements applicable to financial statements and accounting and financial reporting processes;
- iii. The independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications, performance and independence;
- iv. The performance of the Company's internal audit function;
- v. Risk assessment and management, particularly with respect to financial risk exposure; and
- vi. The Company's environmental, social, and governance ("ESG") related strategy, policies, practices, risk assessment and management, and public disclosures.

B. Prepare the audit committee report required by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") to be included in the Company's annual proxy statement.

86. Under a heading titled "Responsibilities and Duties," the Audit Committee Charter states, in relevant part:

The following functions are expected to be the common recurring activities of the Committee in carrying out its responsibilities. These functions should serve as a guide with the understanding that the Committee may carry out additional functions and adopt additional policies and procedures as may be required or appropriate in light of changing business, legislative, regulatory, legal, or other conditions. The Committee shall also carry out any other responsibilities and duties delegated to it

1 by the Board of Directors from time to time.

2 The Committee, in discharging its oversight role, is empowered to study or
 3 investigate any matter of interest or concern that the Committee deems appropriate.
 4 In this regard, the Committee shall have the authority, in its sole discretion, to
 5 engage and terminate independent counsel and other advisors, as it determines
 6 necessary or appropriate to carry out its duties. The Committee may also utilize the
 7 services of the Company's regular internal and/or external counsel or other advisors
 8 to the Company. The Company shall provide appropriate funding, as determined
 9 by the Committee, for payment of compensation to the independent registered
 public accounting firm engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit
 report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company, and any
 advisors that the Committee chooses to engage, as well as funding for the payment
 of ordinary administrative expenses of the Committee that are necessary or
 appropriate in carrying out its duties.

10 87. Under the same heading, in a subsection titled "Documents/Reports Review," the
 11 Audit Committee Charter states:

- 12 1. Review and discuss with management and the independent registered public
 13 accounting firm prior to public dissemination the Company's annual audited
 14 financial statements and quarterly financial statements, including the
 Company's specific disclosures under "Management's Discussion and Analysis
 of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."
- 15 2. Discuss with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters
 16 required to be discussed by the applicable auditing standards adopted by the
 17 PCAOB and approved by the SEC from time to time, including any critical
 audit matters.
- 18 3. Review and discuss with management and the independent registered public
 19 accounting firm the Company's earnings press releases (paying particular
 20 attention to the use of any "pro forma" or "adjusted" non-GAAP information
 21 and measures), as well as financial information and earnings guidance provided
 22 to analysts and rating agencies. The Committee's discussion in this regard may
 23 be general in nature (e.g., discussion of the types of information to be disclosed
 and the type of presentation to be made) and need not take place in advance of
 each earnings release or each instance in which the Company may provide
 earnings guidance.
- 24 4. Review and discuss with management and the independent registered public
 25 accounting firm any major issues arising as to the adequacy and effectiveness
 26 of the Company's internal controls, any actions taken in light of material control
 27 deficiencies and the adequacy of disclosures about changes in internal control
 28 over financial reporting.

5. Review and discuss with the independent registered public accounting firm a draft of the auditor's report.

88. Under the same heading, in a subsection titled "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm," the Audit Committee Charter states, *inter alia*:

6. Be solely and directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention, oversight and, when necessary, termination of any independent registered public accounting firm engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company (including the resolution of disagreements between management and such firm regarding financial reporting).

7. Inform each independent registered public accounting firm engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company that such firm must report directly to the Committee.

8. Pre-approve all auditing services and non-audit services (other than "prohibited non-audit services") to be provided to the Company by its independent registered public accounting firm. The Committee may delegate authority to one or more independent members to grant pre-approvals of audit and permitted non-audit services; provided that any such preapprovals shall be presented to the full Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, pre-approval is not necessary for minor non-audit services if: (i) the aggregate amount of all such non-audit services provided to the Company constitutes not more than five percent of the total amount of revenues paid by the Company to its independent registered public accounting firm during the fiscal year in which the non-audit services are provided; (ii) such services were not recognized by the Company at the time of the engagement to be non-audit services; and (iii) such services are promptly brought to the attention of the Committee and approved prior to the completion of the audit by the Committee or by one or more members of the Committee who are members of the Board of Directors to whom authority to grant such approvals has been delegated by the Committee.

The following shall be "prohibited non-audit services": (i) bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of the Company; (ii) financial information systems design and implementation; (iii) appraisal or valuation services, providing fairness opinions or preparing contribution-in-kind reports; (iv) actuarial services; (v) internal audit outsourcing services; (vi) management functions or human resources; (vii) broker or dealer, investment adviser or investment banking services; (viii) legal services and expert services unrelated to the audit; and (ix) any other service that the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board prohibits through regulation.

89. Under the same heading, in a subsection titled “Accounting and Financial Reporting Process,” the Audit Committee Charter states:

12. In consultation with the independent registered public accounting firm, management and the internal auditors (or other personnel or service providers responsible for the internal audit function), review the integrity of the Company’s financial reporting processes. In that regard, the Committee must obtain, review and discuss with management and the independent registered public accounting firm reports from management and the independent registered public accounting firm regarding:

- all critical accounting policies and practices to be used by the Company;
- analyses prepared by management and/or the independent registered public accounting firm setting forth significant financial reporting issues and judgments made in connection with the preparation of the financial statements, including all alternative treatments of financial information within generally accepted accounting principles related to material items that have been discussed with the Company’s management, the ramifications of the use of the alternative disclosures and treatments on the Company’s financial statements and the treatment preferred by the independent registered public accounting firm;
- major issues regarding accounting principles and financial statement presentations, including any significant changes in the Company’s selection or application of accounting principles;
- major issues as to the adequacy of the Company’s internal controls and any special audit steps adopted in light of material control deficiencies; and
- any other material written communications between the independent registered public accounting firm and the Company’s management, such as any management letter or schedule of unadjusted differences.

13. Review periodically the effect of regulatory and accounting initiatives, as well as offbalance sheet structures (if any), on the financial statements of the Company.

14. Review with the independent registered public accounting firm (i) any problems or difficulties encountered by such firm in the course of the review or audit work, including any restrictions on the scope of its activities or on access to requested information, and any significant disagreements with management and (ii) management’s responses to such matters. Without excluding other possibilities, the Committee may wish to review with the independent registered public accounting firm (i) any accounting adjustments that were noted or proposed by such firm but were “passed” (as immaterial or otherwise), (ii) any communications between the audit team and such firm’s national office respecting auditing or accounting issues presented by the engagement and (iii) any “management” or “internal control” letter

issued, or proposed to be issued, by the independent registered public accounting firm to the Company

90. Under the same heading, in a subsection titled "Internal Audit," the Audit Committee Charter states:

15. Oversee the Company's internal audit function, which may be outsourced to a third-party service provider.

16. Review the significant reports to management prepared by the internal auditors (or other personnel or service providers responsible for the internal audit function) and management's responses.

17. Review and discuss with management, and if appropriate, the independent registered public accounting firm and/or any service provider providing internal audit services to the Company, the responsibilities, budget and staffing of the Company's internal audit function.

91. Under the same heading, in a subsection titled "Legal Compliance/General," the Audit Committee Charter states:

18. Periodically review and discuss with the Company's General Counsel, or their designee, any legal matters that have been brought to the Committee's attention and that could have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

19. Review and discuss with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the Company's guidelines and policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The Committee should discuss the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

20. Set clear policies for the Company's hiring of partners or employees or former partners or former employees of the independent registered public accounting firm. At a minimum, these policies must provide that any independent registered public accounting firm may not provide audit services to the Company if the chief executive officer, controller, chief financial officer, chief accounting officer or any person serving in an equivalent capacity for the Company was employed by the independent registered public accounting firm and participated in any capacity in the audit of the Company during the one-year period preceding the date of the initiation of the audit.

21. Establish procedures for: (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters; and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

22. Oversee, review and periodically update the Company's Code of Business

1 Conduct and Ethics (the “Code”) (including review of requests of waivers thereof
2 by executive officers and directors) and the Company’s system to monitor
3 compliance with and enforce the Code.

4 23. Unless otherwise approved or ratified pursuant to the Board’s “Related Person
5 Transaction Policy,” the Committee shall review and approve or ratify all
6 transactions between the Company and any Related Person that are required to be
7 disclosed pursuant to Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K (“Item 404(a”). “Related
8 Person” shall have the meaning given to such term in Item 404(a), as amended from
9 time to time. Discuss with the independent registered public accounting firm its
10 evaluation of the Company’s identification of, accounting for, and disclosure of its
11 relationships with related parties as set forth under the standards of the PCAOB.

12 24. Review and approve at least on an annual basis the decisions by management
13 to enter into derivative transactions on a cleared or non-cleared basis, and the
14 policies and processes of the Company related thereto, and review and recommend
15 to the Board of Directors on matters pertaining to the Company’s derivative
16 transactions and hedging strategy.

17 92. In violation of the Audit Committee Charter, the Individual Defendants sitting on
18 the Audit Committee (as key officers and members of the Company’s Board) caused the Company
19 to issue materially false and misleading statements to the public, facilitated and disguised the
20 Individual Defendants’ violations of law, including breaches of fiduciary duty, gross
21 mismanagement, abuse of control, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment, and aiding and
22 abetting thereof. Also, in violation of the Audit Committee Charter, the Individual Defendants
23 failed to implement risk assessment and risk management protocol and failed to ensure the
24 Company’s compliance with applicable law.

25 **INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS’ MISCONDUCT**

26 **Background**

27 93. ZoomInfo is a software and data company that sells customer analytics and
28 intelligence to sales and marketing teams. The Company markets its product through a data
platform that provides its clients with customer contact and business information. Through this
platform, data, and customer intelligence, the Company claims its clients will be able to sell and
market more efficiently by allowing them to target organizations and personnel with optimal
messaging and timing.

94. The Company's platform is able to be accessed through subscription contracts that last for one to three years, and customers are unable to cancel. The price of these subscriptions is based on the amount of users (or "seats") that will have access to the Company's information, the amount of functionality the customer aimed to utilize, and the amount of data customers use.

95. The Company recognizes its revenue ratably throughout the life of a subscription, beginning when the platform is first made available to a client. Under the Company's regular billing terms, clients are required to pay for services at the beginning of an annual, semi-annual, or quarterly period.

False and Misleading Statements

November 10, 2020 Press Release

96. On November 10, 2020, the Company issued the Q3 2020 Earnings Release. The Q3 2020 Earnings Release announced that the Company's quarterly revenues had grown year-over-year, from \$79 million in the same period the prior year up 56% to \$123 million. The Q3 2020 Earnings Release also announced the Company's operating revenue grew, from \$13 million in the same period the prior year up 41% to \$18 million. Lastly, the Q3 2020 Earnings Release announced that the Company had closed the quarter with over 720 customers who had a ACVs of at least \$100,000.

97. In quoting Defendant Schuck, the Q3 2020 Earnings Release stated the following, in relevant part:

"We delivered another quarter of record results, *as more customers than ever modernized their go-to-market motions* with ZoomInfo's data and insights platform In the third quarter, we added a *record number of new customers, drove record expansion with our largest clients*, and launched new platform enhancements. With a model that combines durable growth and profitability and a team that is executing on all fronts, we are seeing momentum across all areas of the business. This momentum gives us even more confidence that we can capitalize on the many growth opportunities ahead."

November 10, 2020 Earnings Call

1 98. The same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with investors to discuss the
 2 results announced by the Q3 2020 Earnings Release (the “Q3 2020 Earnings Call”). On the Q3
 3 2020 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck highlighted the Company’s “record” quarterly sales and
 4 growing customer spend, stating:

5 Because of the strength that we’re seeing across all areas of the business, including
 6 ***record quarterly new sales, record engagement levels and a new high watermark***
 7 ***for – of customers spending over \$100,000 a year with us***, we are raising our
 8 financial guidance for the full year.

9 99. Similarly, Defendant Hyzer highlighted the strong new sales and expansion,
 10 stating:

11 Both new sales activity and existing client net expansion improved relative to Q2
 12 and last year. ***We continue to build momentum and increase win rates throughout***
 13 ***the quarter, and this combination of strength in new sales and expansion activity***
 14 ***helped drive sequential revenue growth of 10%***, adjusted for the relative days in
 15 each quarter.

16 100. Later in the call, during the question-and-answer portion, one analyst questioned
 17 the underlying drivers of the Company’s sudden growth. Defendant Hyzer responded:

18 Yes. Thanks. That’s a great question, Brent. ***It was broad-based across the board.***
 19 ***We saw really strong new business sales***, and that’s with enterprises and mid-
 20 market and SMB customers.

21 I think one thing to make sure to think about is that all of our customers are selling
 22 to other businesses. So at the end of the day, they are probably less impacted by
 23 some of the COVID headwinds that you might see than, say, restaurant or other
 24 folks. And many of them are pivoting and finding ways to succeed. These happen
 25 to be many of the more agile and forward-leaning go-to-market teams in the world.
 26 So I think our system really helped people to continue to perform.

27 ***And I think one of the things that we continue to see throughout was that the***
 28 ***retention or expansion among our customers continued to accelerate month-***
over-month throughout the year. So again, broad-based on both new sales and
 retention and across all of the different segments of customers that we serve.

November 13, 2020 Form 10-Q

101. On November 13, 2020, the Company filed its quarterly report for the third quarter
 of the 2020 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the “Q3 2020 10-Q”). The Q3 2020 10-Q

1 was signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached certifications pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15(d)-
2 14(a) under the Exchange Act and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) signed by Defendants
3 Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q3 2020 10-Q and that the “information included
4 in this [Q3 2020 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of
5 operations and cash flows of the [Company].”

6 102. The Q3 2020 10-Q confirmed and repeated the financial and operational results that
7 had been announced in the Q3 2020 Earnings Release.

8 103. In addition, the Q3 2020 10-Q revealed that the Company ended the third quarter
9 with total Remaining Performance Obligations (“RPOs”) of \$458 million and current RPOs of
10 \$349 million.

11 ***December 2, 2020 Prospectus***

12 104. On December 2, 2020, the Company filed a prospectus on Form 424(b)(4) with the
13 SEC (the “December 2020 Prospectus”). The December 2020 Prospectus informed investors that
14 the Company had a customer base of over 17,000, while also claiming an implied 2% penetration
15 into a total addressable market for the Company’s products and services which was valued at \$30
16 billion. The December 2020 Prospectus also stated that that over 720 customers had ACVs of at
17 least \$100,000, and 18 customers had ACVs of at least \$1 million.

18 ***February 22, 2021 Press Release***

19 105. On February 22, 2021, the Company issued a press release announcing the financial
20 results for the fourth quarter and full year of the 2020 Fiscal Year (the “FY 2020 Earnings
21 Release”). The FY 2020 Earnings Release announced that the Company’s quarterly revenues had
22 increased year-over-year, from \$91 million for the fourth quarter the prior year up 53% to \$140
23 million. The FY 2020 Earnings Release also announced that the Company’s operating income
24 increased year-over-year, from \$29 million for the fourth quarter the prior year up \$54% to \$29.6
25 million.

26 106. In regards to customers, the FY 2020 Earnings Release announced that the
27

1 Company ended the quarter with over 20,000 customers, with at least 850 who had at least ACVs
2 of \$100,000. The FY 2020 Earnings Release also announced that the Company had a Net-Revenue
3 Retention (“NRR”) of 108%.

4 107. Defendant Schuck was quoted in the FY 2020 Earnings Release as praising the
5 Company’s “record results” and supposed “industry-leading” growth and profitability.

6 ***February 22, 2021 Earnings Call***

7 108. The same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts to
8 discuss the results from the fourth quarter and fiscal year (the “FY 2020 Earnings Call”). On the
9 FY 2020 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck highlighted the Company’s “broad-based strength
10 across all areas of the business” and revealing that ZoomInfo had set “growth records” for new
11 sales, new customers added, and customers with over \$100,000 annual spend. Additionally,
12 Defendant Schuck stated that the Company’s products were “resonating” with clients, which was
13 leading to “new customer acquisition” and “retention.”

14 109. Defendant Hyzer hyped many of the same strengths in new customer acquisitions
15 and expansion, stating:

16 So currently, ***we’re seeing real strength on both sides, both from new logos and***
17 ***customers*** coming on as well as from the expansion opportunity within our existing
18 customer base. And if you look at our largest customers, ***we had a record quarter***
19 ***in terms of the addition of new customers*** that are above \$100,000 at this point.

20 110. In response to an analyst’s question around what was driving the large influx of
21 customers to the platform, Defendant Schuck stated:

22 And so you see customers from all sorts of industries now coming to us, and we
23 have a solution that can serve all of their needs. It doesn’t matter if you sell into an
24 IT decision-maker or a medical director, if you’re selling in France or you’re selling
25 in California, the solution can serve up better go-to-market efficiencies for your
26 sales teams regardless of what kind of company you are.

27 And I think what you’re seeing is companies, one, making the realization, the
28 digitization of their go-to-market efforts is a must; and two, you see really the
investments that we made over the last 2 years in our go-to-market teams and our
product really paying off. ***And so we saw a broad-based momentum, again, from***
all industries across the world across sizes, and that’s the momentum that we
don’t think is a blip or something that’s short lived or short term. We think that’s
here to stay.

February 26, 2021 Form 10-K

111. On February 26, 2021, the Company filed its annual report for the 2020 Fiscal Year on Form 10-K with the SEC (the “2020 10-K”). The 2020 10-K was signed by Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Mader, McCarter, Winn, and Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the 2020 10-K and that the “information included in this [2020 10-K], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company].”

112. The 2020 10-K repeated the financial and operational results that were reported in the FY 2020 Earnings Release. The 2020 10-K also stated that the Company ended the fourth quarter with total RPOs of \$559 million and current RPOs of \$432 million.

May 3, 2021 Press Release

113. On May 3, 2021, the Company issued a press release announcing the quarterly financial and operational results for the first quarter of the 2021 Fiscal Year (the “Q1 2021 Earnings Release”). The Q1 2021 Earnings Release revealed that the Company’s quarterly revenues had increased year-over-year, from \$102 million in the first quarter of the prior year up 50% to \$153 million. In addition, the Q1 2021 Earnings Release announced that the Company’s operating income had also increased year-over-year, from \$20 million in the first quarter of the prior year up 38% to \$28 million.

114. Regarding customer growth, the Q1 2021 Earnings Release announced that the Company ended the quarter with over 950 customers who had ACVs of at least \$100,000.

115. In discussing these promising results, the Q1 2021 Earnings Release quoted Defendant Schuck, who stated that the Company was “executing well” across “all areas of the business.” He was further quoted as saying the Company was “well-positioned to capitalize on [a] growing market opportunity.”

May 3, 2021 Earnings Call

116. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with analysts and investors to

1 discuss the financial and operational results of the first quarter (the “Q1 2021 Earnings Call”).

2 117. On the Q1 2021 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck emphasized the Company’s
3 “strong” financial results, which he attributed to “accelerating growth” throughout the business,
4 including “record renewals” and new customer acquisition. In full, he stated:

5 *The first quarter was marked by strong accelerating growth across all of our*
6 *business lines.* We delivered GAAP revenue of \$153 million, representing 50%
7 year-over-year growth and 12% sequentially when adjusted for the number of days
8 in the quarter. Adjusted operating income was \$66 million, representing an
9 operating margin of 43%. These results were driven by dependable execution
10 across the entire company from new business to product development to retention.
11 *Our focus on continuous improvement as a core cultural value and the execution*
12 *we build on top of that has allowed us to deliver our near-term financial results*
13 *consistently while setting us up for long-term durable growth.*

14 We had strong results across all areas of the business, and I want to specifically call
15 out that *we achieved our best-ever Q1 results this quarter on 3 dimensions: new*
16 *business, new customer additions and retention activity.* We doubled the number
17 of new customers added this quarter compared to Q1 2020. *We also had record*
18 *renewals and upsells as a percentage of beginning ACV* for a first quarter as we
19 saw demand for our products continue to accelerate with companies looking to
20 drive a digital data-driven go-to-market motion.

21 118. During his own scripted remarks on the Q1 2021 Earnings Call, Defendant Hyzer
22 highlighted the Company’s “positive momentum,” stating:

23 Q1 was a great quarter with strong financial results that exceeded our guidance. *We*
24 *saw broad-based strength across the business.* And as Henry indicated, we
25 achieved our best-ever Q1 results for new business, new customer additions and
26 retention activity. This quarter was also highlighted by our successful expansion
27 with enterprise customers, growing sales of our newer products and strong
28 international growth.

* * *

29 *During the first quarter, we continued to see strong new customer additions and*
30 *positive momentum with respect to retention and upsell activity.* We also
31 continued to successfully execute against the large and growing enterprise
32 opportunity. *We had strong enterprise renewals, and our enterprise upsell motion*
33 *is really hitting its stride.* In the quarter, we doubled the number of greater than
34 \$100,000 ACV customers added as compared to the year ago period. As a result,
35 as of March 31, we had more than 950 customers with \$100,000 or more in ACV,
36 up from more than 850 last quarter.

37 119. Responding to an analyst question regarding the demand environment the Company

1 was experiencing, Defendant Schuck stated:

2 Yes. I think we – I think it’s a pretty – *I don’t think we see organizations sort of*
3 *correcting for the pandemic world anymore. We think that’s largely behind us.*
4 And so what we’re seeing mostly is organizations trying to bring to life, especially
5 in the enterprise, their CRM systems, their marketing automation systems, their
6 sales automation system. They’re trying to get high ROI and real engagement out
7 of those systems, and they view us as a strategic partner to be able to fill those
8 systems with insights and really drive adoption and engagement from their frontline
9 sellers and their marketing teams through those systems. And so I don’t really – I
10 don’t think anyone is focused on the pandemic mindset. Everybody is focused today
11 on really digitizing their motion.

12 ***May 3, 2021 Form 10-Q***

13 120. On May 3, 2021, the Company filed its quarterly report for the first quarter of the
14 2021 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the “Q1 2021 10-Q”). The Q1 2021 10-Q was
15 signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and
16 Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q1 2021 10-Q and that the “information included in this [Q1
17 2021 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and
18 cash flows of the [Company].”

19 121. The Q1 2021 10-Q repeated the same financial and operational results as the Q1
20 2021 Earnings Release. The Q1 2021 10-Q also revealed that the Company ended the quarter with
21 total RPOs of \$592 million and current RPOs of \$461 million.

22 ***August 2, 2021 Press Release***

23 122. On August 2, 2021, the Company issued a press release announcing its financial
24 and operational results from the second quarter of the 2021 Fiscal Year (the “Q2 2021 Earnings
25 Release”). The Q2 2021 Earnings Release revealed that the Company’s quarterly revenues
26 increased again year-over-year, from \$111 million in the second quarter the year prior up 57% to
27 \$174 million. In addition, the Q2 2021 Earnings Release revealed the Company’s operating income
28 increased as well year-over-year, from \$31 million in the second quarter the prior year up to \$41
million.

123. Lastly, the Q2 2021 Earnings Release revealed that the Company ended the quarter
with over 1,100 customers who had ACVs of at least \$100,000.

1 ***August 2, 2021 Earnings Call***

2 124. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with analysts and investors to
 3 discuss the Company's second quarter results (the "Q2 2021 Earnings Call"). On the Q2 2021
 4 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck discussed the Company's high levels of customer retention,
 5 stating:

6 Q2 was another record quarter with accelerating revenue growth and improved
 7 operating margin performance. We continue to see positive trends across the entire
 8 business, driven by our continued investments in our sales, marketing, product, data
 9 and engineering organizations and a strong demand environment for accelerating
 10 digital transformation across go-to-market teams. ***This was our best ever second***
 11 ***quarter for new customer additions, and we recorded the highest levels ever for***
 12 ***both retention activity and customer engagement.*** We saw accelerating growth
 13 with our largest customers, growing the number of customers who spend more than
 14 \$100,000 a year with us, by 70% year-over-year, ending the quarter with more than
 15 1,100 showing meaningful traction behind the investments we've made in our
 16 enterprise motion. We're also seeing our investment in international payoff. With
 17 more reps focused on the opportunity, we drove year over-year international
 18 revenue growth greater than 75%, with international now representing 11% of our
 19 overall business.

20 125. Defendant Schuck continued his statements by stating:

21 We had our best ever Q2 for new customer adds. ***We drove the highest ever levels***
 22 ***of retention activity.*** And our data accuracy and coverage levels are at the highest
 23 in our history. Our investment in customer onboarding, user experience
 24 enhancements and integrations are improving customer satisfaction and driving the
 25 highest levels of engagement ever. International is taking off. We saw great growth
 26 across the enterprise opportunity, and we continue to develop, acquire and integrate
 27 new functionality, delivering on our vision of the modern go-to-market platform.

28 126. In response to an analyst's question regarding the Company's NRR trend in the
 second quarter, Defendant Hyzer stated:

So we do report our net retention on an annual basis, given that it's an annual
 calculation kind of starting at the end of the year, going to the end of the next year.
 What we do look at is the retention activity that we see. So that's – those customers
 that are renewing within a quarter and the upsells that we're generating within a
 quarter. ***And we have seen that Q2 was the best quarter we've ever had from a***
retention activity perspective, and I think that gives us confidence that we will see
higher net retention in 2021 than we saw in 2020. And certainly, part of that is all
 of the investments that we've made in terms of our operational capabilities,
 investing in the customer support and customer success teams, investing in
 improving the product and providing additional functionality.

August 2, 2021 Form 10-Q

127. On August 2, 2021, the Company filed its quarterly report for the second quarter of the 2021 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the “Q2 2021 10-Q”). The Q2 2021 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q2 2021 10-Q and that the “information included in this [Q2 2021 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company].”

128. The Q2 2021 10-Q reiterated the financial and operational results of the Q2 2021 Earnings Release. The Q2 2021 10-Q also revealed that the Company’s quarterly RPO total was \$648 million, and current RPO total was \$505 million.

August 5, 2021 Prospectus

129. On August 5, 2021, the Company filed a prospectus on Form 424(b)(7) with the SEC (the “August 5, 2021 Prospectus”). The August 5, 2021 Prospectus incorporated by reference, and therefore repeated the statements within, the 2020 10-K, the Q1 2021 10-Q, and the Q2 2021 10-Q.

August 10, 2021 Prospectus

130. On August 10, 2021, the Company filed a prospectus on Form 424(b)(7) with the SEC (the “August 10, 2021 Prospectus”). The August 10, 2021 Prospectus incorporated by reference, and therefore repeated the statements within, the 2020 10-K, the Q1 2021 10-Q, and the Q2 2021 10-Q.

November 1, 2021 Press Release

131. On November 1, 2021, the Company issued a press release announcing its financial and operational results from the third quarter of the 2021 Fiscal Year (the “Q3 2021 Earnings Release”). The Q3 2021 Earnings Release revealed that the Company’s quarterly revenues increased again year-over-year, from \$123 million in the third quarter the year prior up 60% to \$198 million. In addition, the Q3 2021 Earnings Release revealed the Company’s operating income

increased as well year-over-year, from \$18 million in the third quarter the prior year up 10% to \$20 million.

132. Lastly, the Q3 2021 Earnings Release revealed that the Company ended the quarter with over 25,000 customers. Of those, over 1,250 customers who had ACVs of at least \$100,000.

November 1, 2021 Earnings Call

133. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with analysts and investors to discuss the Company's third quarter results (the "Q3 2021 Earnings Call"). On the Q3 2021 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck highlighted the Company's surpassing of 25,000 total customers, stating:

During the quarter, we surpassed 25,000 customers, and we now have more than 1,250 customers with greater than 100,000 in ACV. These customers now represent more than 40% of our overall ACV with the ACV from not cohort growing by more than 85% year-over-year. ***Growth is coming both from expansions of existing customers and landing new customers above the 100,000 threshold, with customers taking up more and more products at the point of initial sale.***

134. Defendant Schuck continued on, stating that the recent third quarter was the Company's "best ever" in customer acquisition, stating:

This was our best ever third quarter for new customer addition. ***And the leading indicators are pointing to meaningfully higher annual net dollar retention rates with expected improvements across customers of all sizes.*** In the quarter, we delivered GAAP revenue of \$198 million, representing 60% year-over-year growth, up from 57% in Q2 and up 12% sequentially when adjusted for the number of days in the quarter. We delivered unlevered free cash flow of \$73 million, up 23% year-over-year. We closed the quarter with more than 25,000 customers, of which more than 1,250 customers have greater than \$100,000 in ACV. The number of customers with more than \$100,000 in ACV grew more than 70% year-over-year.

135. Later on the call, in response to an analyst's question regarding the underlying driver of the Company's recent customer growth, Defendant Schuck stated:

Yes. I think we see growth in both of those cities. ***We see growth from a user and seat expansion across the enterprise.*** And that just fits into our land and expand motion where we can land in one sort of business unit of an enterprise customer and then expand as that business unit see success. And then the other is, we are selling an expanding product set into the enterprise. And so where we may land with the intelligence layer, we end up selling the engagement layer in or we sell our chat functionality, our engage functionality, the Chorus functionality. We sell our DAS offering, which is an outgrowth of our EverString acquisition last year into the enterprise in an accelerated fashion. ***And so you really see growth in that***

enterprise cohort coming from both new products that we're able to cross-sell in as well as new user seats and expansion within that customer base.

November 1, 2021 Form 10-Q

136. On November 1, 2021, the Company filed its quarterly report for the third quarter of the 2021 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the "Q3 2021 10-Q"). The Q3 2021 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q3 2021 10-Q and that the "information included in this [Q3 2021 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company]."

137. The Q3 2021 10-Q reiterated the financial and operational results of the Q3 2021 Earnings Release. The Q3 2021 10-Q also revealed that the Company's quarterly RPO total was \$712 million, and current RPO total was \$552 million.

February 15, 2022 Press Release

138. On February 15, 2022, the Company issued a press release announcing the financial results for the fourth quarter and full year of the 2021 Fiscal Year (the "FY 2021 Earnings Release"). The FY 2021 Earnings Release announced that the Company's quarterly revenues had increased year-over-year, from \$140 million for the fourth quarter the prior year up 59% to \$222 million. The FY 2021 Earnings Release also announced that the Company's operating income decreased year-over-year, from \$30 million for the fourth quarter the prior year down to \$24 million.

139. In regards to customers, the FY 2021 Earnings Release announced that the Company ended the quarter with 1,452 who had of at least ACVs of \$100,000. The FY 2021 Earnings Release also announced that the Company had a NRR of 116%.

140. The FY 2021 Press Release quoted Defendant Schuck, stating that the Company "delivered a leading combination of growth profitability" in 2021 and the Company had acquired more customers "than ever before", achieving "record customer retention."

February 15, 2022 Earnings Call

141. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with analysts and investors to discuss the Company's fourth quarter and year-end results (the "FY 2021 Earnings Call"). On the FY 2021 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck highlighted the Company's new customer acquisitions, stating:

We are delivering a leading combination of growth, profitability and free cash flow generation at scale. ***We closed the quarter with 1,452 customers with greater than \$100,000 in ACV, up 70% year-over-year, while adding more new customers to the overall business than any other quarter in our history.*** Growth across our newly introduced products was strong as well, with Chorus and RingLead leading the way. At the time of acquisition, our conversation Intelligence platform, Chorus, was growing 100% year-over-year, and we accelerated that growth in both the third and fourth quarters of 2021.

142. Later in his remarks, Defendant Schuck hyped the "continued strong demand environment" the Company was going through, which supposedly would set the stage for "continued growth and profitability" within a "\$70 billion market opportunity."

143. For his turn on the FY 2021 Earnings Call, Defendant Hyzer stated that the Company "continue[d] to successfully execute" its sales strategy, allowing it to "driv[e] more seat expansion, data consumption, and further adoption of product functionality." Defendant Hyzer further claimed that the Company's annual NRR of 116% was the result of this sales strategy.

144. In response to an analyst's question about the strength of the Company's enterprise pipeline, Defendant Schuck stated:

We have built a stronger enterprise pipeline than we've ever had in the history of our business. And that's coming from a number of different ways. I think first, the natural tailwind in the enterprise is they continue to modernize their go-to-market systems and they continue to leverage digital technologies to go to market is a very clear continued tailwind.

But I think the second thing that we're seeing is that the platform story is really resonating. I talked to an enterprise customer in Q4 who was using 12 different vendors to accomplish what they could do with just one ZoomInfo subscription. And that story (technical difficulty) best-in-class products, across that platform suite, I think we're going to continue to take market share and continue to expand in the enterprise. We've hired a great team (technical difficulty) our enterprise sales that we feel really strongly about. ***And so we continue to build a really great pipeline within the enterprise. And I would describe it as more demand within the***

enterprise than we've seen in our history.

145. Defendant Schuck further stated how he was “really excited” about the Company’s NRR, and that the NRR rates were not only “sustainable” but also something the Company would “look to improve upon” in the “next year and the following years.”

146. An analyst then posed the question of whether the COVID-19 pandemic was temporarily inflating the Company’s product demand, referencing that analysts “continue[d] to field some questions from investors around this pull-forward in direct selling efforts kind of post-COVID.” In response, Defendant Schuck stated:

Thanks, Brent. I have not had a single enterprise call with a single enterprise customer where there isn’t double-digit expansion opportunity within those accounts from a SalesOS perspective. They’re just – we still continue to be really early in our penetration across the enterprise. *And so we continue to make headway there, but it’s still really, really early and lots and lots of opportunity in the core SalesOS motion.*

I’ll tell you, we’re constantly looking at the data, the historical data. We look at win rates, funnel conversion, top of funnel activity. And we spent a real amount of time looking for anomalies that we could tie back to some relation to COVID. *And we didn’t see anything that (technical difficulty) believe that the current strong demand trends we’re seeing wouldn’t continue or were one-off or a pull forward.*

And we wanted to be confident about that because it would affect the way we operate the business. *We didn’t see any anomalies like that, that made us think that COVID caused a pull-forward and the demand environment that we’re seeing today wouldn’t continue.*

February 24, 2022 Form 10-K

147. On February 24, 2022, the Company filed its annual report for the 2021 Fiscal Year on Form 10-K with the SEC (the “2021 10-K”). The 2021 10-K was signed by Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Mader, McCarter, Winn, and Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the 2021 10-K and that the “information included in this [2021 10-K], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company].”

148. The 2021 10-K repeated the financial and operational results that were reported in the FY 2021 Earnings Release. The 2021 10-K also stated that the Company ended the fourth

quarter with total RPOs of \$864 million and current RPOs of \$671.5 million.

2022 Proxy Statement

149. On March 29, 2022 the Company filed a Schedule 14A with the SEC with the SEC (the “2022 Proxy Statement”). The 2022 Proxy Statement was solicited by Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Mader, and Winn pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and contained various materially false and misleading statements and omissions.

150. The 2022 Proxy Statement called for shareholders to approve, *inter alia*: (1) the reelection of Defendant Mader to the Board; (2) the ratification of KPMG LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2022 Fiscal Year; (3) the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers, on a nonbinding, advisory basis; and (4) to approve two administrative amendments related to the governing documents related to the Company’s corporate reorganization. The relevant amendments shareholders were asked to approve were: (4A) to amend provisions in the Certificate of Incorporation related to the Company’s classes of common stock; and (4B) to remove pass-through voting provisions from the Company’s subsidiaries Certificate of Incorporation.

151. Regarding “Oversight of Risk Management,” the 2022 Proxy Statement stated the following, in relevant part:

The Board has extensive involvement in the oversight of risk management related to us and our business. The Board accomplishes this oversight both directly, including as it relates to ESG risk exposures, and through its Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and Privacy, Security and Technology Committee, each of which assists the Board in overseeing a part of our overall risk management and regularly reports to the Board. The Audit Committee represents the Board by periodically reviewing our accounting, reporting and financial practices, including the integrity of our financial statements, the oversight of administrative and financial controls, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. Through its regular meetings with management, including the finance, legal and internal audit functions, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses significant areas of our business and related risks and summarizes for the Board areas of risk and any mitigating factors. The Compensation Committee considers, and discusses with management, management’s assessment of certain risks, including whether any risks arising from

our compensation policies and practices for our employees are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees and evaluates programs and risks associated with Board organization, membership and structure, succession planning and corporate governance. In addition, our Board receives periodic detailed operating performance reviews from management. The Privacy, Security and Technology Committee, represents the Board by periodically reviewing and discussing with Company management the Company's major risk exposures relating to privacy, cybersecurity, and technology, and the steps the Company takes to detect, monitor, and actively manage such exposures.

152. Regarding the Code of Conduct, the 2022 Proxy Statement stated the following: We maintain a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that is applicable to all of our directors, officers and employees, including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and other senior officers. The Code of Business Conduct & Ethics sets forth our policies and expectations on a number of topics, including conflicts of interest, corporate opportunities, confidentiality, compliance with laws (including insider trading laws), use of our assets and business conduct and fair dealing. This Code of Business Conduct and Ethics also satisfies the requirements for a code of ethics, as defined by Item 406 of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics may be found on our website at *ir.zoominfo.com* under Governance: Governance Highlights: Governance Documents: Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

We will disclose within four business days any substantive changes in or waivers of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics granted to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, by posting such information on our website as set forth above rather than by filing a Form 8-K. In the case of a waiver for an executive officer or a director, the required disclosure also will be made available on our website within four business days of the date of such waiver.

153. The 2022 Proxy Statement was also materially false and misleading because, despite assertions to the contrary, the Company's Code of Conduct was not followed, as evidenced by the Individual Defendants (1) making and/or causing the Company to make the numerous false and misleading statements and omissions alleged herein; and (2) failing to report violations of the Code of Conduct. Further, the 2022 Proxy Statement was materially false and misleading because, despite assertions to the contrary, the Board was not adequately performing its risk oversight functions.

154. The 2022 Proxy Statement was also false and misleading because it failed to

1 disclose that: (1) the Company's financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of
2 the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company's customers had
3 less need for its platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted
4 to significantly reduce their use of the Company's platform, or completely stop using the platform;
5 (4) to avoid this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive
6 auto-renewal policies that required clients to give at least 60 days' notice prior to the end of a
7 contract term for non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company's customer relations were irreparably
8 harmed to the detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls.
9 As a result of the foregoing, the Company's public statements were materially false and misleading
10 at all relevant times.

11 155. As a result of Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Mader, and
12 Winn causing the 2022 Proxy Statement to be false and misleading, Company shareholders voted,
13 *inter alia*, to: (1) reelect Defendant Mader to the Board, thereby allowing him to continue
14 breaching his fiduciary duties to the Company; (2) ratify the selection of KPMG LLP as the
15 Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2022 Fiscal Year; (3) approve
16 executive officer compensation on an advisory, non-binding basis; and (4) approve the two
17 amendments to the Company's governing documents, namely: (4A) to amend provisions in the
18 Certificate of Incorporation related to the Company's classes of common stock; and (4B) to
19 remove pass-through voting provisions from the Company's subsidiaries Certificate of
20 Incorporation.

21 ***May 2, 2022 Press Release***

22 156. On May 2, 2022, the Company issued a press release announcing the quarterly
23 financial and operational results for the first quarter of the 2022 Fiscal Year (the "Q1 2022
24 Earnings Release"). The Q1 2022 Earnings Release revealed that the Company's quarterly
25 revenues had increased year-over-year, from \$153 million in the first quarter of the prior year up
26 58% to \$242 million. In addition, the Q1 2022 Earnings Release announced that the Company's
27

operating income had also increased year-over-year, from \$28 million in the first quarter of the prior year up 16% to \$32 million.

157. Regarding customer growth, the Q1 2022 Earnings Release announced that the Company ended the quarter with 1,623 customers who had ACVs of at least \$100,000.

May 2, 2022 Earnings Call

158. That same day, the Company held an earnings call with analysts and investors to discuss the second quarter financial and operational results (the “Q1 2022 Earnings Call”). On the Q1 2022 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck highlighted how the Company was able to continue a pattern of “strong growth” in acquiring new customers, stating:

We closed the quarter with 1,623 customers with greater than \$100,000 in ACV, up more than 65% year-over-year, while the average revenue across these customers continues to grow. ***And we saw incredibly strong growth in new business as the new customer team had their best Q1 ever on an ACV basis and the best quarter ever on a TCV basis.***

159. Defendant Schuck continued to highlight how the Company’s customer base “continue[d] to grow” and was indicative of the Company continuing to see “solid traction” with its enterprise clients.

160. For his scripted remarks, Defendant Hyzer also highlighted how the Company’s platform was “resonating with customers,” stating:

The demand environment remains strong. Companies continue to invest behind improving their go-to-market motions, and the platform strategy is resonating with customers. ***We are confident that given the tremendous value we provide to our customers and our current narrow level of market penetration that we will be able to drive durable growth regardless of the economic environment.***

161. Defendant Hyzer went on to then discuss the Company’s recent growth in customers with over \$100,000 in ACV as being driven by pre-existing customers who have chosen to “expand” over the threshold, stating:

As has been historically the case, we tend to land customers with a kind of smaller offering or sometimes a trial and then expand and grow them over time. So that continues to be the case that we’ve – that most of the customers that we add in that 100,000 are customers that started at a smaller level with us and we’ve upsell. ***But there does continue to be momentum in customers coming on over 100,000.***

1 . . . I think last year, *we started to see a little bit more momentum, and that's*
 2 *continued in Q1, where a growing number of customers are coming in at 200,000*
 3 *or 500,000 and then continuing to grow from there as well.*

4 ***May 2, 2022 Form 10-Q***

5 162. On May 2, 2022, the Company filed its quarterly report for the first quarter of the
 6 2022 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the “Q1 2022 10-Q”). The Q1 2022 10-Q was
 7 signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and
 8 Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q1 2022 10-Q and that the “information included in this [Q1
 9 2022 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and
 10 cash flows of the [Company].”

11 163. The Q1 2022 10-Q reiterated the financial and operational results of the Q1 2022
 12 Earnings Release. The Q1 2022 10-Q also revealed that the Company’s quarterly RPO total was
 13 \$918 million, and current RPO total was \$715 million.

14 ***August 1, 2022 Press Release***

15 164. On August 1, 2022, the Company issued a press release announcing the quarterly
 16 financial and operational results for the second quarter of the 2022 Fiscal Year (the “Q2 2022
 17 Earnings Release”). The Q2 2022 Earnings Release revealed that the Company’s quarterly
 18 revenues had increased year-over-year, from \$174 million in the second quarter of the prior year
 19 up 54% to \$267 million. In addition, the Q2 2022 Earnings Release announced that the Company’s
 20 operating income went from \$41 million in the second quarter of the prior year to \$39.5 million.

21 165. Regarding customer growth, the Q2 2022 Earnings Release announced that the
 22 Company ended the quarter with 1,763 customers who had ACVs of at least \$100,000.

23 ***August 1, 2022 Earnings Call***

24 166. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts to
 25 discuss the second quarter’s financial and operational results (the “Q2 2022 Earnings Call”). On
 26 the Q2 2022 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck discussed the recent “generational transformation”
 27 of how businesses conduct their marketing, stating:

28 While a more uncertain macroeconomic environment may create some elongating

1 near-term sales cycles, *our very efficient go-to-market motion and proven quick*
 2 *time to value for our customers will help insulate us. The market opportunity is*
huge, and we are executing against it.

3 We are in the earliest days of what we believe is a generational transformation of
 4 how businesses go to market with data, insights and a purpose-built software
 5 platform, a transformation that we are uniquely positioned to lead. We have the
 6 right platform, the integrated data and insights that power that platform, and we are
 delivering success to our customers as they look for efficiencies and look to
 consolidate with fewer and fewer strategic vendors.

7 *We continue to deliver success to customers of all sizes across all industries with*
 8 *customers increasingly choosing ZoomInfo as a pillar of their goto-market tech*
 9 *stack.* As companies focus on efficiency, profitability and unit economics, the most
 obvious path is to get more out of your existing sales and marketing resources. For
 2 decades, we have been a trusted partner to deliver just that.

10 167. In his scripted remarks, Defendant Hyzer also discussed the Company continuing
 11 to “see strong demand”, exuding that he was “confident” ZoomInfo would “continue to drive
 12 durable growth” despite of a “macro environment.”

13 168. When asked about customer retention trends, Defendant Hyzer responded:
 14 So there isn’t anything worth calling out in terms of specific segments or anything
 15 else. I do think, as we look forward, macroeconomic headwinds could create some
 16 pressure with respect to net retention, but *we’re still seeing our customers continue*
 17 *to want to invest into really enabling and creating a better environment for their*
 18 *sellers. So I think what we’re seeing today gives us even more confidence in our*
ability to drive towards that \$2 billion run rate revenue target that we’ve set for
ourselves and continue to see retention over the long term continue to improve.

19 169. Regarding how hiring freezes may impact the Company’s growth, Defendant
 20 Schuck stated:

21 *I think just from a penetration perspective, we still feel like we’re in very, very*
 22 *early innings.* I get asked often what happens if sales hiring slows in these accounts.
 23 Are you limited by your ability to add user seats? On the vast majority, the
 24 overwhelming majority of the accounts that we operate inside of, we’re not wall-
 to-wall across the sales team. *And so we have this tremendous growth opportunity*
within sales organizations that we’re executing against. And so we still feel like
we’re in the incredible early innings of the opportunity. And so we don’t feel
 special exposure across any industry or any customer side segment.

25 170. Similarly, Defendant Hyzer stated that the Company was still in the “early innings”
 26 of its market penetration, stating:

27 So I think consistent with what we’ve seen historically and continue to see in –

throughout the year, *new sales continues to be a really strong driver of growth, and it's more than half of the growth that we see. I think that we're in such early innings in terms of the penetration of the overall market.*

Ultimately, every business that's selling to another business can and should use ZoomInfo to do a better job of that. And we have customers 30,000 today. There are over 700 potential customers that we can go out and get. So we have a lot of excitement about our ability to go out and continue to bring on new customers and help them be successful.

At the same time, within our existing customers, I think the consolidation play is an important lever that we can continue to use. But there's also a tremendous amount of white space expansion within those customers. Whether it's adding on new users to go wall-to-wall, whether it's helping their data teams really get to higher-quality data or, honestly, a lot of the advanced functionality that we offer is still relatively nascent out in the market. And there are a number of large enterprise customers where we can double, triple, quadruple the amount of revenue that we're getting in a white space way as opposed to consolidating other spend that's out there. And I think in a challenging macroeconomic environment, that replacement might be the easiest thing for us. *But over the long term, there's a lot more opportunity for the white space opportunity within our existing customers as well.*

August 1, 2022 Form 10-Q

171. On August 1, 2022, the Company filed its quarterly report for the second quarter of the 2022 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the "Q2 2022 10-Q"). The Q2 2022 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q2 2022 10-Q and that the "information included in this [Q2 2022 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company]."

172. The Q2 2022 10-Q reiterated the financial and operational results of the Q2 2022 Earnings Release. The Q2 2022 10-Q also revealed that the Company's quarterly RPO total was \$985 million, and current RPO total was \$764 million.

173. The statements referenced in ¶¶96-148 and 156-172 were materially false and misleading and failed to disclose material facts necessary to make the statements made not false and misleading. Specifically, the Individual Defendants failed to disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1) the Company's financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of the COVID-19

1 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company's customers had less need for its
2 platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted to significantly
3 reduce their use of the Company's platform, or completely stop using the platform; (4) to avoid
4 this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive auto-renewal
5 policies that required clients to give at least 60 days' notice prior to the end of a contract term for
6 non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company's customer relations were irreparably harmed to the
7 detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls. As a result of
8 the foregoing, the Company's public statements were materially false and misleading at all
9 relevant times.

10 **The Truth Starts Emerging as False and Misleading Statements Continue**

11 ***November 1, 2022 Earnings Call***

12 174. On November 1, 2022, the truth began to emerge when ZoomInfo hosted an
13 earnings call with investors and analysts to discuss its financial results for the third quarter of the
14 2022 Fiscal Year (the "Q3 2022 Earnings Call"). On the Q3 2022 Earnings Call, Defendant Hyzer
15 announced that the Company had experienced increased "scrutiny" by its customers throughout
16 the contract renewal process, which had a negative impact on the Company's respective financial
17 results and caused the Company to "retrace" its NRR gains achieved in 2021.

18 175. Defendant Hyzer also revealed that the Company's total RPOs and current RPOs
19 had both declined. In total, total RPOs fell from \$985 million in the second quarter to \$979 million
20 in the third, while current RPOs fell from \$764 million in the second quarter to \$757 million in the
21 third.

22 176. Analysts responded negatively to these disclosures, with one analyst at Canaccord
23 Genuity stating that the Company's abrupt change in tone from the recent "bullish" commentary
24 had caught analysts "flat-footed."

25 177. On this news, the price of the Company's common stock fell \$12.69 per share, or
26 approximately 29%, from its closing price of \$43.50 per share on November 1, 2022, to close at
27

1 \$30.81 per share on November 2, 2022. However, the Individual Defendants continued to
2 obfuscate the truth about its customer retention issues.

3 178. For example, on the Q3 2022 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck downplayed the
4 client issues mentioned by Defendant Hyzer, instead discussing how gross client retention had still
5 “stayed largely the same” and any deals that slipped into the fourth quarter from the third quarter
6 were “already closed.”

7 179. Defendant Hyzer parroted these sentiments, stating:

8 ***What we are seeing is that gross retention continues to be really strong, over 90%.
9 So we still have customers that are renewing, and we have seen an acceleration
10 in terms of functionality upsells.*** Where we’re seeing more pressure is with respect
11 to the seat expansions and data expansions that we had seen historically. That’s the
12 area where we feel our team isn’t able to go after as much of the upsell opportunity
13 given the incremental time that they’re spending on renewals and deals in general.

14 180. Defendant Hyzer continued, in relevant part, stating:

15 ***Gross churn. So gross churn actually hung in very well despite the
16 macroeconomic environment. So when people were using ZoomInfo, they’re
17 continuing to renew the gross churn rate or gross retention continues to be well
18 over 90%. So I think that we feel really good about that.*** The change in the NRR
19 aspect has much more to do with those seat-based expansion opportunities that our
20 team is spending more time getting those renewals just based on greater scrutiny
21 that’s being applied by our customers, and therefore, is getting less opportunities to
22 go out and really push those upsells that we’ve seen historically.

23 181. Defendant Schuck also claimed that during conversations with clients, the
24 Company had been informed “over and over again” how clients were opting to invest with
25 ZoomInfo as opposed to hiring additional sales personnel, stating:

26 ***What I will tell you is the largest new business deal and the largest expansion deal
27 in our history, those customers are coming to us and saying, listen, I’m going to
28 forego the next 3 or 4 head count from a sales perspective. And I’m going to use
those dollars to invest in ZoomInfo and make the entire additional team more
productive, more efficient and more effective.***

We hear that over and over again. And so I think that thinking around how do I
make the rest of my team more efficient? How do I make everybody more efficient
is starting to materialize throughout our customer base and throughout our new
business prospects. Where the historical view of how do I grow has been, I just
need to add another head count, another 5 head count, another 10 head count.

I think teams across the world are saying, how do I grow without adding head count? How do I make all of my team more efficient and more productive? And that's the message that we're trying to land with our customer base as well.

November 1, 2022 Press Release

182. That same day, the Company issued a press release announcing its quarterly financial and operational results for the third quarter of the 2022 Fiscal Year (the "Q3 2022 Earnings Release"). The Q3 2022 Earnings Release revealed that the Company's quarterly revenues had increased year-over-year, from \$198 million in the third quarter of the prior year up 46% to \$288 million. In addition, the Q3 2022 Earnings Release announced that the Company's operating income also increased year-over-year, from \$20 million in the third quarter of the prior year up 156% to \$52 million.

183. Regarding customer growth, the Q3 2022 Earnings Release announced that the Company ended the quarter with 1,623 customers who had ACVs of at least \$100,000.

184. Lastly, the Q3 2022 Earnings Release quoted Defendant Schuck in emphasizing the Company's record revenue, stating:

As a best-in-class high-growth software company with strong profitability, ***our customers are looking to us for best practices on how to grow efficiently*** – we do that by leveraging ZoomInfo's data, insights, and automation. . . . We drive a quick and measurable ROI for customers and our innovative platform scales with them. ***As a result, we delivered another quarter of record revenue and profitability, as we are quickly becoming the go-to-market platform of choice for B2B companies looking to drive efficient growth.***

November 1, 2022 Form 10-Q

185. On November 1, 2022, the Company filed its quarterly report for the third quarter of the 2022 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the "Q3 2022 10-Q"). The Q3 2022 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q3 2022 10-Q and that the "information included in this [Q3 2022 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company]."

186. The Q3 2022 10-Q reiterated the financial and operational results of the Q3 2022 Earnings Release. The Q3 2022 10-Q also revealed that the Company's quarterly RPO total was

1 \$979 million, and current RPO total was \$757 million.

2 187. The statements referenced in ¶¶178-186 were materially false and misleading and
3 failed to disclose material facts necessary to make the statements made not false and misleading.
4 Specifically, the Individual Defendants failed to disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1) the Company's
5 financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as
6 the pandemic began to subside, the Company's customers had less need for its platform; (3) as a
7 result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted to significantly reduce their use
8 of the Company's platform, or completely stop using the platform; (4) to avoid this mass loss of
9 retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive auto-renewal policies that
10 required clients to give at least 60 days' notice prior to the end of a contract term for non-renewal;
11 (5) as a result, the Company's customer relations were irreparably harmed to the detriment of
12 future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls. As a result of the
13 foregoing, the Company's public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant
14 times.

15 ***November 16, 2022 RBC Capital Markets Conference***

16 188. Just fifteen days later, on November 16, 2022, Defendant Hyzer participated in an
17 investor conference hosted by RBC Capital Markets. At the conference, Defendant Hyzer revealed
18 that, despite the assertions made two weeks prior, the customer scrutiny over the contract renewal
19 process had in fact continued into the fourth quarter, and thus would negatively impact the
20 Company's ability to grow its revenues for the upcoming 2023 Fiscal Year.

21 189. On this news, the price of the Company's common stock fell \$5.52 per share, or
22 approximately 17% over two days, from its closing price of \$31.69 per share on November 15,
23 2022, to close at \$26.17 per share on November 17, 2022. However, the Individual Defendants
24 continued to obfuscate the truth about its customer retention issues.

25 ***February 6, 2023 Press Release***

26 190. On February 6, 2023, the Company issued a press release announcing the financial
27

1 results for the fourth quarter and full year of the 2022 Fiscal Year (the “FY 2022 Earnings
2 Release”). The FY 2022 Earnings Release announced that the Company’s quarterly revenues had
3 increased year-over-year, from \$222 million for the fourth quarter the prior year up 36% to \$302
4 million. The FY 2022 Earnings Release also announced that the Company’s operating income
5 increased year-over-year, from \$24 million for the fourth quarter the prior year up 115% to \$52
6 million.

7 191. In regard to customers, the FY 2022 Earnings Release announced that the Company
8 ended the quarter with 1,926 who had of at least ACVs of \$100,000. The FY 2022 Earnings
9 Release also announced that the Company had a NRR of 104%.

10 192. The FY 2022 Earnings Release also quoted Defendant Schuck, who highlighted the
11 Company’s “leading” growth and profitability while claiming that ZoomInfo was “well-
12 positioned” as a “durable grower.”

13 ***February 6, 2023 Earnings Call***

14 193. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts to
15 discuss the financial and operational results from the fourth quarter and full year of the 2022 Fiscal
16 Year (the “FY 2022 Earnings Call”). On the FY 2022 Earnings Call, an analyst asked a question
17 regarding the demand environment for the Company, to which Defendant Schuck replied:

18 ***Look, there hasn’t been any material change in buyer behavior that we’re seeing***
19 ***out in the market as it relates to uncertainty or the macroeconomic environment.***
20 ***So we haven’t seen any change in that.*** What I’ll tell you from a demand and
21 pipeline generation perspective. January, we saw our largest pipeline we’ve ever
22 generated. ***We’re generating more MQLs than we’ve had in our history. When***
23 ***buyers are buying, they’re buying decisively and at strong ASPs, and we’re seeing***
24 ***less competition in our deals in Q4. And where we do see competition primarily***
25 ***in the SMB segment of our business, we’re seeing the highest in-month win rate***
26 ***ever for a non end of the quarter month.*** And so all of that tells me that while there
27 is room for improvement from an execution perspective, it really is customers’
28 uncertainty about the broader economic environment that’s holding us back from
delivering more top line growth. ***So as the uncertainty fades, I’m confident that***
we’ll be in a great position to accelerate out. We haven’t seen that stating yet.

194. Another analyst asked about the claims that the Company’s recent issues were the
result of macroeconomic trends. Defendant Schuck responded:

I think the big thing that we know today is that, there is a real growth opportunity within our enterprise customer base. Today, we have 35,000 customers, and we're driving real growth across our enterprise customers. ***But when we look within the enterprise, we think we can significantly accelerate that.*** And so bringing in a Chief Revenue Officer who has a ton of experience within the enterprise, this felt like the right time to do it. We see that segment as the biggest growth opportunity, and we wanted to bring somebody in who had significant experience in that land and expand motion and especially across the enterprise.

195. In addition to responding to analyst questions, Defendant Schuck also discussed how the Company's pipeline was "the strongest it's ever been," stating:

I would add that our pipeline in January was the strongest it's ever been. We generated more MQLs than we ever have in our history. So there's real demand out there in the market for our products. But ultimately, what we're ending up seeing is customers are waiting. They're not making purchase decisions at the level – the velocity levels as they were a year ago. But there is real demand out there. We're generating it. We're generating that pipeline, and so we'll continue to do that and feel like as the uncertainty phase will be in a really great position to accelerate through that.

196. Defendant Hyzer later echoed these sentiments, stating that there was "more pipeline" than ever before, and the Company's win rates were "modestly starting to improve."

February 16, 2023 Form 10-K

197. On February 16, 2023, the Company filed its annual report for the 2022 Fiscal Year on Form 10-K with the SEC (the "2022 10-K"). The 2022 10-K was signed by Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson, Mader, McCarter, Winn, and Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the 2022 10-K and that the "information included in this [2022 10-K], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company]."

198. The 2022 10-K repeated the financial and operational results that were reported in the FY 2022 Earnings Release. The 2022 10-K also stated that the Company ended the fourth quarter with total RPOs of \$1.1 billion and current RPOs of \$842 million.

199. The 2022 10-K also reported on the Company's accounts receivable, revealing there were \$223 million by quarter end. The 2022 10-K held out that the Company "maintain[s] an allowance for credit losses based upon the expected collectability of accounts receivable" and

that reported accounts receivable were the “net” of such allowance. The 2022 10-K continued that the Company’s management had evaluated the adequacy of these allowances based on ““historical collection experience, changes in customer payment profiles, the aging of receivable balances, as well as current economic conditions,” and, as of the end of 2022, the allowance established for expected credit losses was “immaterial.”

2023 Proxy Statement

200. On March 29, 2023 the Company the 2023 Proxy Statement. The 2023 Proxy Statement was solicited by Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson, Mader, McCarter, and Winn pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and contained various materially false and misleading statements and omissions.

201. The 2023 Proxy Statement called for shareholders to approve, *inter alia*: (1) the reelection of Defendants Crockett, McCarter, and Winn to the Board; (2) the ratification of KPMG LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2023 Fiscal Year; and (3) the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers, on a nonbinding, advisory basis.

202. Regarding “Oversight of Risk Management,” the 2023 Proxy Statement stated the following, in relevant part:

The Board has extensive involvement in the oversight of risk management related to us and our business. The Board accomplishes this oversight both directly and through its Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and Privacy, Security and Technology Committee, each of which assists the Board in overseeing a part of our overall risk management and regularly reports to the Board. The Audit Committee represents the Board by periodically reviewing our accounting, reporting and financial practices, including the integrity of our financial statements, the oversight of administrative and financial controls, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. Through its regular meetings with management, including the finance, legal and internal audit functions, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses significant areas of our business and related risks and summarizes for the Board areas of risk and any mitigating factors. The Compensation Committee considers, and discusses with management, management’s assessment of certain risks, including whether any risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees

are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees and evaluates programs and risks associated with Board organization, membership and structure, succession planning and corporate governance. In addition, our Board receives periodic detailed operating performance reviews from management. The Privacy, Security and Technology Committee, represents the Board by periodically reviewing and discussing with Company management the Company's major risk exposures relating to privacy, cybersecurity, and technology, and the steps the Company takes to detect, monitor, and actively manage such exposures.

203. Regarding the Code of Conduct, the 2023 Proxy Statement stated the following: We maintain a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that is applicable to all of our directors, officers and employees, including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and other senior officers. The Code of Business Conduct & Ethics sets forth our policies and expectations on a number of topics, including conflicts of interest, corporate opportunities, confidentiality, compliance with laws (including insider trading laws), use of our assets and business conduct and fair dealing. This Code of Business Conduct and Ethics also satisfies the requirements for a code of ethics, as defined by Item 406 of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics may be found on our website at *ir.zoominfo.com* under Governance: Governance Highlights: Governance Documents: Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

We will disclose within four business days any substantive changes in or waivers of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics granted to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, by posting such information on our website as set forth above rather than by filing a Form 8-K. In the case of a waiver for an executive officer or a director, the required disclosure also will be made available on our website within four business days of the date of such waiver.

204. The 2023 Proxy Statement was also materially false and misleading because, despite assertions to the contrary, the Company's Code of Conduct was not followed, as evidenced by the Individual Defendants (1) making and/or causing the Company to make the numerous false and misleading statements and omissions alleged herein; and (2) failing to report violations of the Code of Conduct. Further, the 2023 Proxy Statement was materially false and misleading because, despite assertions to the contrary, the Board was not adequately performing its risk oversight functions.

205. The 2023 Proxy Statement was also false and misleading because it failed to disclose that: (1) the Company's financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of

1 the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company's customers had
2 less need for its platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted
3 to significantly reduce their use of the Company's platform, or completely stop using the platform;
4 (4) to avoid this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive
5 auto-renewal policies that required clients to give at least 60 days' notice prior to the end of a
6 contract term for non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company's customer relations were irreparably
7 harmed to the detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls.
8 As a result of the foregoing, the Company's public statements were materially false and misleading
9 at all relevant times.

10 206. As a result of Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson,
11 Mader, McCarter, and Winn causing the 2023 Proxy Statement to be false and misleading,
12 Company shareholders voted, *inter alia*, to: (1) reelect Defendants Crockett, McCarter, and Winn
13 to the Board, thereby allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company;
14 (2) ratify the selection of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting
15 firm for the 2023 Fiscal Year; and (3) approve executive officer compensation on an advisory,
16 non-binding basis.

17 ***May 1, 2023 Press Release***

18 207. On May 1, 2023, the Company issued a press release announcing the quarterly
19 financial and operational results for the first quarter of the 2023 Fiscal Year (the "Q1 2023
20 Earnings Release"). The Q1 2023 Earnings Release revealed that the Company's quarterly
21 revenues had increased year-over-year, from \$242 million in the first quarter of the prior year up
22 24% to \$301 million. In addition, the Q1 2023 Earnings Release announced that the Company's
23 operating income had also increased year-over-year, from \$32 million in the first quarter of the
24 prior year up 105% to \$66 million.

25 208. Regarding customer growth, the Q1 2023 Earnings Release announced that the
26 Company ended the quarter with 1,905 customers who had ACVs of at least \$100,000.

May 1, 2023 Earnings Call

209. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with analysts and investors to discuss the Company's financial and operational results for the first quarter of 2023 (the "Q1 2023 Earnings Call"). On the Q1 2023 Earnings call, an analyst asked a question regarding sales trends. In response, Defendant Hyzer replied:

So in terms of linearity, specifically April was better than January. Typically, we do have some level of linearity within the month. So the first month is oftentimes a little less than the second month, which has been less than the third month. ***But we do feel that momentum and on an adjusted basis, comparing it to January gives us good confidence in where Q2 is going. And certainly from a – and that's actual sales, closed sales. From a pipeline perspective, I think we're seeing a similar dynamic of improvement in Q2.***

210. These sentiments were further echoed by Defendant Schuck, who said that April was "off to a much better start" than January had started, and there was "a lot of demand" for the Company's products.

211. Later, an analyst asked about the Company's ability to meet its revenue guidance for the year, which would require accelerated revenue growth in the second quarter. Defendant Hyzer responded that he had "very good visibility into Q2" and "good confidence" that the Company would be able to accelerate revenues accordingly.

May 1, 2023 Form 10-Q

212. On May 1, 2023, the Company filed its quarterly report for the first quarter of the 2023 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the "Q1 2023 10-Q"). The Q1 2023 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q1 2023 10-Q and that the "information included in this [Q1 2023 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company]."

213. The Q1 2023 10-Q reiterated the financial and operational results of the Q1 2023 Earnings Release. The Q1 2023 10-Q also revealed that the Company's quarterly RPO total was \$1.1 billion, and current RPO total was \$839 million.

214. Regarding accounts receivable, the Q1 2023 10-Q revealed the Company finished the quarter with \$215.5 million, and that the Company “maintain[s] an allowance for credit losses based upon the expected collectability of accounts receivable” and that reported accounts receivable were the “net” of such allowance. The Q1 2023 10-Q continued that the Company’s management had evaluated the adequacy of these allowances based on ““historical collection experience, changes in customer payment profiles, the aging of receivable balances, as well as current economic conditions,” and, as of the end of the first quarter, the allowance established for expected credit losses was “immaterial.”

215. The statements referenced in ¶¶188-199, 207-214 were materially false and misleading and failed to disclose material facts necessary to make the statements made not false and misleading. Specifically, the Individual Defendants failed to disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1) the Company’s financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company’s customers had less need for its platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted to significantly reduce their use of the Company’s platform, or completely stop using the platform; (4) to avoid this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive auto-renewal policies that required clients to give at least 60 days’ notice prior to the end of a contract term for non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company’s customer relations were irreparably harmed to the detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls. As a result of the foregoing, the Company’s public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

July 31, 2023 Press Release

216. On July 31, 2023, the Company issued a press release announcing its financial and operational results for the second quarter of the 2023 Fiscal Year (the “Q2 2023 Earnings Release”). The Q2 2023 Earnings Release announced that the Company’s clients who had ACVs of at least \$100,000 had declined, from 1,905 in the first quarter down to 1,893 in the second

1 quarter.

2 217. On the earnings call held to discuss these results later that day (the “Q2 2023
3 Earnings Call”), Defendant Hyzer also revealed that customer cancellations during the second
4 quarter increased “modestly” and that customers who weren’t cancelling were renewing for less
5 than the market was conditioned to expect. Defendant Hyzer further revealed that, of customers
6 who had already reduced the size of their contracts during the prior renewal period, many were
7 now renewing their contracts for even less. This resulted in the Company’s annual guidance being
8 decreased by \$50 million, from an initial range of \$1.275 billion to \$1.285 billion down to a range
9 of \$1.225 billion to \$1.235 billion.

10 218. On this news, the price of the Company’s common stock fell \$7.17 per share, or
11 approximately 28% over two days, from its closing price of \$25.57 per share on July 31, 2023, to
12 close at \$18.40 per share on August 2, 2023. However, the Individual Defendants continued to
13 obfuscate the truth about its customer retention issues.

14 219. For example, the Q2 2023 Earnings Release revealed that the Company’s quarterly
15 revenues still increased year-over-year in the second quarter, from \$267 million in the second
16 quarter the year prior up 16% to \$309 million. Similarly, the Company’s operating income
17 increased year-over-year as well, from \$40 million in the second quarter the prior year up 51% to
18 \$60 million.

19 ***July 31, 2023 Earnings Call***

20 220. That same day, the Company hosted the Q2 2023 Earnings Call with investors and
21 analysts to discuss the second quarter results. On the Q2 2023 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck
22 discussed the Company’s working with clients who were downsizing their packages to “keep the
23 contract,” stating:

24 ***[O]ne of the things that we’re doing internally operationally is giving our –***
25 ***working with those customers as they downsize, so that they stay with us, and we***
26 ***have an opportunity to grow with them in the future.*** We have another customer
27 example that had literally 600 salespeople in 2021, has 20 today. And that’s a
28 contract that was in our 100,000 cohort and is now a \$30,000 a year contract, but
our mentality around that is let’s work with this customer, let’s keep the contract.

1 We're both on the same page about growing back up with them in the future. *And*
2 *so from a gross retention perspective, we're doing everything we can to hold on*
3 *to those customers, so that they turn the corner and start thinking about growth*
4 *again that we're a trusted partner on that journey.*

5 ***July 31, 2023 Form 10-Q***

6 221. On July 31, 2023, the Company filed its quarterly report for the second quarter of
7 the 2023 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the "Q2 2023 10-Q"). The Q2 2023 10-Q was
8 signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and
9 Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q2 2023 10-Q and that the "information included in this [Q2
10 2023 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and
11 cash flows of the [Company]."

12 222. The Q2 2023 10-Q reiterated the financial and operational results of the Q2 2023
13 Earnings Release. The Q2 2023 10-Q also revealed that the Company's quarterly RPO total was
14 \$1.1 billion, and current RPO total was \$849 million.

15 223. Regarding accounts receivable, the Q2 2023 10-Q revealed the Company finished
16 the quarter with \$207 million, and that the Company "maintain[s] an allowance for credit losses
17 based upon the expected collectability of accounts receivable" and that reported accounts
18 receivable were the "net" of such allowance. The Q2 2023 10-Q continued that the Company's
19 management had evaluated the adequacy of these allowances based on "'historical collection
20 experience, changes in customer payment profiles, the aging of receivable balances, as well as
21 current economic conditions," and, as of the end of the second quarter, the allowance established
22 for expected credit losses was "immaterial."

23 ***October 30, 2023 Press Release***

24 224. On October 30, 2023, the Company issued a press release announcing the quarterly
25 financial and operational results for the third quarter of the 2023 Fiscal Year (the "Q3 2023
26 Earnings Release"). The Q3 2023 Earnings Release revealed that the Company's quarterly
27 revenues had increased year-over-year, from \$288 million in the third quarter of the prior year up
28 9% to \$314 million. In addition, the Q3 2023 Earnings Release announced that the Company's

operating income had also increased year-over-year, from \$52 million in the third quarter of the prior year up 22% to \$63 million.

225. Regarding customer growth, the Q3 2023 Earnings Release announced that the Company ended the quarter with 1,869 customers who had ACVs of at least \$100,000.

October 30, 2023 Earnings Call

226. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with analysts and investors to discuss the financial and operational results of the third quarter (the “Q3 2023 Earnings Call”). On the Q3 2023 Earnings Call, Defendant Hyzer announced that the Company was experiencing success in securing new business, stating:

The assumptions really are very focused on renewals. ***New business continues to be relatively strong.*** Obviously, the environment impacts that as well, but the sales efficiency of our new business team and the demand that we see continue to be good out there and we continue to bring on new customers to support that. So we’re really focused much more on mitigating and getting through this renewal cycle that we’re in right now.

October 30, 2023 Form 10-Q

227. On October 30, 2023, the Company filed its quarterly report for the third quarter of the 2023 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the “Q3 2023 10-Q”). The Q3 2023 10-Q was signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q3 2023 10-Q and that the “information included in this [Q3 2023 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company].”

228. The Q3 2023 10-Q reiterated the financial and operational results of the Q3 2023 Earnings Release. The Q3 2023 10-Q also revealed that the Company’s quarterly RPO total was \$1.05 billion, and current RPO total was \$795 million.

229. Regarding accounts receivable, the Q3 2023 10-Q revealed the Company finished the quarter with \$219 million, and that the Company “maintain[s] an allowance for credit losses based upon the expected collectability of accounts receivable” and that reported accounts receivable were the “net” of such allowance. The Q3 2023 10-Q continued that the Company’s

management had evaluated the adequacy of these allowances based on ““historical collection experience, changes in customer payment profiles, the aging of receivable balances, as well as current economic conditions,” and, as of the end of the third quarter, the allowance established for expected credit losses was “not significant.”

February 12, 2024 Press Release

230. On February 12, 2024, the Company issued a press release announcing the financial results for the fourth quarter and full year of the 2023 Fiscal Year (the “FY 2023 Earnings Release”). The FY 2023 Earnings Release announced that the Company’s quarterly revenues had increased year-over-year, from \$302 million for the fourth quarter the prior year up 5% to \$316 million. The FY 2023 Earnings Release also announced that the Company’s operating income increased year-over-year, from \$52 million for the fourth quarter the prior year up 35% to \$70.5 million.

231. In regard to customers, the FY 2023 Earnings Release announced that the Company ended the quarter with 1,820 who had of at least ACVs of \$100,000. The FY 2023 Earnings Release also announced that the Company had a NRR of 87%.

February 12, 2024 Earnings Call

232. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts to discuss the fourth quarter and year-end financial and operational results (the “FY 2023 Earnings Call”). On the FY 2023 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck discussed the Company’s success with new customers, stating:

However, our demand and sales velocity for new business was our best ever. We closed the most new logos on record in Q4. Our in-month create and closed win rate for December was the highest we’ve ever had in a single month, and our median sales cycle shortened significantly year-over-year.

233. Continuing on, Defendant Schuck discussed how this would impact the Company’s ability to forecast demand, stating:

Throughout the year, relative to the customer base, new business demand and close stayed strong, we saw that continue throughout the year. And then at the end of the year, we brought on the most new logos we’ve had in a quarter. We had great metrics around the new sales motion. And then we had many customers

1 *who had left to come back to us as well.*

2 *So we feel pretty good about our ability to forecast demand on the new business*
 3 *side and see it continuing strength throughout 2024.*

4 234. For his scripted remarks, Defendant Hyzer discussed the “fairly stable” levels of
 churn among small business clients, stating:

5 *So our churn levels have actually remained fairly stable.* And ‘23, they are a little
 6 down from what we saw in ‘22, but not meaningfully so. Obviously, that part of the
 7 equation is largely driven by the lower end of the market. And so while we do see
 8 pressure on SMBs, particularly around write-offs and some downsells in kind of
 price requirements, *we don’t see a meaningful increase in the churn that we’re*
seeing.

9 ***February 15, 2024 Form 10-K***

10 235. On February 15, 2024, the Company filed its annual report for the 2023 Fiscal
 11 Year on Form 10-K with the SEC (the “2023 10-K”). The 2023 10-K was signed by Defendants
 12 Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson, Mader, McCarter, Winn, and Hyzer and
 13 attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of
 14 the 2023 10-K and that the “information included in this [2023 10-K], fairly present in all material
 15 respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the [Company].”

16 236. The 2023 10-K repeated the financial and operational results that were reported in
 17 the FY 2023 Earnings Release. The 2023 10-K also stated that the Company ended the fourth
 18 quarter with total RPOs of \$1.15 billion and current RPOs of \$856 million.

19 237. The 2023 10-K also reported on the Company’s accounts receivable, revealing
 20 there were \$272 million by quarter end. The 2023 10-K held out that the Company “maintain[s]
 21 an allowance for credit losses based upon the expected collectability of accounts receivable” and
 22 that reported accounts receivable were the “net” of such allowance. The 2023 10-K continued that
 23 the Company’s management had evaluated the adequacy of these allowances based on ““historical
 24 collection experience, changes in customer payment profiles, the aging of receivable balances, as
 25 well as current economic conditions,” and, as of the end of 2023, the allowance established for
 26 expected credit losses was “not significant.”

27 238. The statements referenced in ¶¶219-237 were materially false and misleading and

failed to disclose material facts necessary to make the statements made not false and misleading. Specifically, the Individual Defendants failed to disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1) the Company's financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company's customers had less need for its platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted to significantly reduce their use of the Company's platform, or completely stop using the platform; (4) to avoid this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive auto-renewal policies that required clients to give at least 60 days' notice prior to the end of a contract term for non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company's customer relations were irreparably harmed to the detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls. As a result of the foregoing, the Company's public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

2024 Proxy Statement

239. On March 29, 2024 the Company the 2024 Proxy Statement. The 2024 Proxy Statement was solicited by Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson, Mader, McCarter, and Winn pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and contained various materially false and misleading statements and omissions.

240. The 2024 Proxy Statement called for shareholders to approve, *inter alia*: (1) the reelection of Defendants Schuck and Enright to the Board; (2) the ratification of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2024 Fiscal Year; and (3) the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, on a nonbinding, advisory basis.

241. Regarding "Oversight of Risk Management," the 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following, in relevant part:

The Board has extensive involvement in the oversight of risk management related to us and our business. The Board accomplishes this oversight both directly and through its Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Nominating and

Corporate Governance Committee, and Privacy, Security and Technology Committee, each of which assists the Board in overseeing a part of our overall risk management and regularly reports to the Board. The Audit Committee represents the Board by periodically reviewing our accounting, reporting and financial practices, including the integrity of our financial statements, the oversight of administrative and financial controls, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. Through its regular meetings with management, including the finance, legal and internal audit functions, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses significant areas of our business and related risks and summarizes for the Board areas of risk and any mitigating factors. The Compensation Committee considers, and discusses with management, management's assessment of certain risks, including whether any risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees and evaluates programs and risks associated with Board organization, membership and structure, succession planning and corporate governance. In addition, our Board receives periodic detailed operating performance reviews from management. The Privacy, Security and Technology Committee, represents the Board by periodically reviewing and discussing with Company management the Company's major risk exposures relating to privacy, cybersecurity, and technology, and the steps the Company takes to detect, monitor, and actively manage such exposures.

242. Regarding the Code of Conduct, the 2024 Proxy Statement stated the following: We maintain a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that is applicable to all of our directors, officers and employees, including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and other senior officers. The Code of Business Conduct & Ethics sets forth our policies and expectations on a number of topics, including conflicts of interest, corporate opportunities, confidentiality, compliance with laws (including insider trading laws), use of our assets and business conduct and fair dealing. This Code of Business Conduct and Ethics also satisfies the requirements for a code of ethics, as defined by Item 406 of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics may be found on our website at ir.zoominfo.com under Governance: Governance Highlights: Governance Documents: Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

We will disclose within four business days any substantive changes in or waivers of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics granted to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, by posting such information on our website as set forth above rather than by filing a Form 8-K. In the case of a waiver for an executive officer or a director, the required disclosure also will be made available on our website within four business days of the date of such waiver.

243. The 2024 Proxy Statement was also materially false and misleading because,

1 despite assertions to the contrary, the Company's Code of Conduct was not followed, as evidenced
2 by the Individual Defendants (1) making and/or causing the Company to make the numerous false
3 and misleading statements and omissions alleged herein; and (2) failing to report violations of the
4 Code of Conduct. Further, the 2024 Proxy Statement was materially false and misleading because,
5 despite assertions to the contrary, the Board was not adequately performing its risk oversight
6 functions.

7 244. The 2024 Proxy Statement was also false and misleading because it failed to
8 disclose that: (1) the Company's financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of
9 the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company's customers had
10 less need for its platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted
11 to significantly reduce their use of the Company's platform, or completely stop using the platform;
12 (4) to avoid this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive
13 auto-renewal policies that required clients to give at least 60 days' notice prior to the end of a
14 contract term for non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company's customer relations were irreparably
15 harmed to the detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls.
16 As a result of the foregoing, the Company's public statements were materially false and misleading
17 at all relevant times.

18 245. As a result of Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson,
19 Mader, McCarter, and Winn causing the 2024 Proxy Statement to be false and misleading,
20 Company shareholders voted, *inter alia*, to: (1) reelect Defendants Schuck and Enright to the
21 Board, thereby allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company; (2)
22 ratify the selection of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting
23 firm for the 2024 Fiscal Year; and (3) approve executive officer compensation on an advisory,
24 non-binding basis.

25 ***May 7, 2024 Earnings Call***

26 246. On May 7, 2024, the Company hosted an earnings call with investors and analysts
27

to discuss the financial and operational results of the first quarter of the 2024 Fiscal Year (the “Q1 2024 Earnings Call”). On the Q1 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Hyzer admitted that the Company had a large amount of small business customers who showed signs of “weakness” during the renewal process, which caused the Company’s NRR to decline from 87% the previous quarter to 85%. Defendant Hyzer also announced that the amount of new business received by small business customers had also declined as ZoomInfo began to be “more selective” on deals made within that group. This caused the Company to reduce its annual revenue guidance from an initial range of \$1.26 billion to \$1.28 billion to a range of \$1.255 billion to \$1.27 billion.

247. On this news, the price of the Company’s common stock fell \$3.88 per share, or approximately 24%, from its closing price of \$16.02 per share on May 7, 2024, to close at \$12.14 per share on May 8, 2024. However, the Individual Defendants continued to obfuscate the truth about its customer retention issues.

248. For example, on the Q1 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Schuck discussed how the Company was experiencing “stabilization trends,” stating:

Software retention also stayed flat sequentially for the first time since Q1 of ‘22. ***These stabilization trends have continued into Q2 and are promising signs that suggest we have reached a bottom, which we view as a precursor to a potential inflection to growth.*** We also had a number – we also had another quarter of strong win-back performance. Customers continue to come back in record numbers after trying low-cost, low-quality providers. In Q1, we again saw hundreds of customers come back to ZoomInfo, maintaining the record levels from Q4 and Q3 2023.

May 7, 2024 Press Release

249. That same day, the Company issued a press release announcing its financial and operational results for the first quarter of the 2024 Fiscal Year (the “Q1 2024 Earnings Release”). The Q1 2024 Earnings Release announced the Company’s quarterly revenues increased year-over-year, from \$301 million in the prior year’s first quarter up 3% to \$310 million. Additionally, the Company’s operating income for the first quarter was \$43 million.

250. Regarding customers, the Company announced that it ended the first quarter with 1,760 customers who had ACVs of at least \$100,000.

1 ***May 7, 2024 Form 10-Q***

2 251. On May 7, 2024, the Company filed its quarterly report for the first quarter of the
3 2024 Fiscal Year on Form 10-Q with the SEC (the “Q1 2024 10-Q”). The Q1 2024 10-Q was
4 signed by Defendant Hyzer and attached SOX certifications signed by Defendants Schuck and
5 Hyzer attesting to the accuracy of the Q1 2024 10-Q and that the “information included in this [Q1
6 2024 10-Q], fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and
7 cash flows of the [Company].”

8 252. The Q1 2024 10-Q reiterated the financial and operational results of the Q1 2024
9 Earnings Release. The Q1 2024 10-Q also revealed that the Company’s quarterly RPO total was
10 \$1.13 billion, and current RPO total was \$838 million.

11 253. Regarding accounts receivable, the Q1 2024 10-Q revealed the Company finished
12 the quarter with \$223.5 million, and that the Company “maintain[s] an allowance for credit losses
13 based upon the expected collectability of accounts receivable” and that reported accounts
14 receivable were the “net” of such allowance. The Q1 2024 10-Q continued that the Company’s
15 management had evaluated the adequacy of these allowances based on ““historical collection
16 experience, changes in customer payment profiles, the aging of receivable balances, as well as
17 current economic conditions,” and, as of the end of the first quarter, the allowance established for
18 expected credit losses was “not significant.”

19 254. The statements referenced in ¶¶248-254 were materially false and misleading and
20 failed to disclose material facts necessary to make the statements made not false and misleading.
21 Specifically, the Individual Defendants failed to disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1) the Company’s
22 financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as
23 the pandemic began to subside, the Company’s customers had less need for its platform; (3) as a
24 result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted to significantly reduce their use
25 of the Company’s platform, or completely stop using the platform; (4) to avoid this mass loss of
26 retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive auto-renewal policies that
27

required clients to give at least 60 days' notice prior to the end of a contract term for non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company's customer relations were irreparably harmed to the detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls. As a result of the foregoing, the Company's public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

The Truth Fully Emerges

August 5, 2024 Press Release

255. The truth fully emerged on August 5, 2024, when the Company issued the Q2 2024 Earnings Release, announcing the Company's financial results for the second quarter of the 2024 Fiscal Year.

256. The Q2 2024 Earnings Release revealed that the Company was suffering from a \$33 million charge as the result of clients being unable to make payments. As a result, the Q2 2024 Earnings Release announced the Company would be implementing a "new business risk model" to reduce its write-offs. This new risk model would amend the Company's operational procedures to now require payment up-front from clients who are small businesses.

257. The Q2 2024 Earnings Release also revealed another reduction to the Company's annual guidance, from a range of \$1.255 billion to \$1.27 billion down *\$65 million at the midpoint* to a range of \$1.19 billion to \$1.205 billion.

August 5, 2024 Earnings Call

258. That same day, the Company hosted an earnings call with analysts and investors to discuss the second quarter's financial and operational results (the "Q2 2024 Earnings Call"). On the Q2 2024 Earnings Call, Defendant Hyzer revealed that the \$33 million charge was related to revenues that had originally been recognized in the 2023 Fiscal Year. As such, this cast doubt on tens of millions of dollars in revenue and the legitimacy of the thousands of Company clients.

259. On this news, the price of the Company's common stock fell \$1.79 per share, or approximately 18%, from its closing price of \$9.80 per share on August 5, 2024, to close at \$8.01

per share on August 6, 2024.

SUBSEQUENT DEVELOPMENT

260. The day after the Q2 2024 Earnings Release and Earnings Call, the Company announced in a press release that Defendant Hyzer was resigning from the Company.

REPURCHASES DURING THE RELEVANT PERIOD

261. During the Relevant Period, the Individual Defendants caused the Company to initiate repurchases of its common stock that substantially damaged the Company. In total, the Company spent an aggregate amount of over \$729.4 million to repurchase approximately 45 million shares of its own common stock at artificially inflated prices from December 2020 through July 2024.

262. According to the 2020 10-K, between December 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020 the Company repurchased 20,617 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of approximately \$46.06, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$949,619.

263. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$784,477 for repurchases of its own stock between December 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020.

264. According to the Q3 2021 10-Q, between September 1, 2021 and September 30, 2021, the Company repurchased 20,636 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of approximately \$65.19, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$1.3 million.

265. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$1.2 million for repurchases of its own stock between September 1, 2021 and September 30, 2021.

266. According to the 2021 10-K, between November 1, 2021 and November 30, 2021, the Company repurchased 6,023 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of approximately \$69.71, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$419,863.

267. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on

1 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$371,619 for repurchases of its own
2 stock between November 1, 2021 and November 30, 2021.

3 268. According to the 2021 10-K, between December 1, 2021 and December 31, 2021,
4 the Company repurchased 7,522 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
5 approximately \$61.70, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$464,107.

6 269. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
7 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$403,856 for repurchases of its own
8 stock between December 1, 2021 and December 31, 2021.

9 270. According to the Q1 2022 10-Q, between February 1, 2022 and February 28, 2022,
10 the Company repurchased 18,935 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
11 approximately \$56.22, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$1.1 million.

12 271. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
13 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$912,856 for repurchases of its own
14 stock between February 1, 2022 and February 28, 2022.

15 272. According to the Q1 2022 10-Q, between March 1, 2022 and March 31, 2022, the
16 Company repurchased 8,292 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
17 approximately \$54.69, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$453,489.

18 273. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
19 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$387,071 for repurchases of its own
20 stock between March 1, 2022 and March 31, 2022.

21 274. According to the Q2 2022 10-Q, between May 1, 2022 and May 31, 2022, the
22 Company repurchased 6,395 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
23 approximately \$51.99, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$332,476.

24 275. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
25 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$281,252 for repurchases of its own
26 stock between May 1, 2022 and May 31, 2022.

276. According to the Q2 2022 10-Q, between June 1, 2022 and June 30, 2022, the Company repurchased 6,121 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of approximately \$40.39, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$247,227.

277. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$198,198 for repurchases of its own stock between June 1, 2022 and June 30, 2022.

278. According to the Q3 2022 10-Q, between August 1, 2022 and August 31, 2022, the Company repurchased 6,333 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of approximately \$41.97, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$265,796.

279. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$215,069 for repurchases of its own stock between August 1, 2022 and August 31, 2022.

280. According to the Q3 2022 10-Q, between September 1, 2022 and September 30, 2022, the Company repurchased 8,332 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of approximately \$45.42, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$378,439.

281. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$311,700 for repurchases of its own stock between September 1, 2022 and September 30, 2022.

282. According to the 2022 10-K, between November 1, 2022 and November 30, 2022, the Company repurchased 6,186 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of approximately \$30.81, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$190,591.

283. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$141,041 for repurchases of its own stock between November 1, 2022 and November 30, 2022.

284. According to the 2022 10-K, between December 1, 2022 and December 31, 2022, the Company repurchased 8,164 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of

1 approximately \$28.60, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$233,490.

2 285. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
3 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$168,097 for repurchases of its own
4 stock between December 1, 2022 and December 31, 2022.

5 286. According to the Q1 2023 10-Q, between February 1, 2023 and February 28, 2023,
6 the Company repurchased 9,133 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
7 approximately \$30.24, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$276,182.

8 287. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
9 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$203,027 for repurchases of its own
10 stock between February 1, 2023 and February 28, 2023.

11 288. According to the Q1 2023 10-Q, between March 1, 2023 and March 31, 2023, the
12 Company repurchased 1,067,234 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
13 approximately \$23.00, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$24.5 million.

14 289. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
15 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$16 million for repurchases of its own
16 stock between March 1, 2023 and March 31, 2023.

17 290. According to the Q2 2023 10-Q, between April 1, 2023 and April 30, 2023, the
18 Company repurchased 1,477,121 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
19 approximately \$22.56, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$32.6 million.

20 291. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
21 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$21.1 million for repurchases of its own
22 stock between April 1, 2023 and April 30, 2023.

23 292. According to the Q2 2023 10-Q, between May 1, 2023 and May 31, 2023, the
24 Company repurchased 1,404,890 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
25 approximately \$21.41, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$30.1 million.

26 293. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
27

1 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$18.8 million for repurchases of its own
2 stock between May 1, 2023 and May 31, 2023.

3 294. According to the Q2 2023 10-Q, between June 1, 2023 and June 30, 2023, the
4 Company repurchased 6,166 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
5 approximately \$24.73, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$152,485.

6 295. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
7 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$103,096 for repurchases of its own
8 stock between June 1, 2023 and June 30, 2023.

9 296. According to the Q3 2023 10-Q, between August 1, 2023 and August 31, 2023, the
10 Company repurchased 8,408,022 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
11 approximately \$18.18, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$152.9 million.

12 297. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
13 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$85.5 million for repurchases of its own
14 stock between August 1, 2023 and August 31, 2023.

15 298. According to the Q3 2023 10-Q, between September 1, 2023 and September 30,
16 2023, the Company repurchased 407,152 shares of its own common stock at an average price per
17 share of approximately \$18.42, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$7.5 million.

18 299. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
19 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$4.2 million for repurchases of its own
20 stock between September 1, 2023 and September 30, 2023.

21 300. According to the 2023 10-K, between October 1, 2023 and October 31, 2023, the
22 Company repurchased 5,902,722 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
23 approximately \$16.61, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$98 million.

24 301. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
25 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$50.8 million for repurchases of its own
26 stock between October 1, 2023 and October 31, 2023.

1 302. According to the 2023 10-K, between November 1, 2023 and November 30, 2023,
2 the Company repurchased 3,325,528 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share
3 of approximately \$13.37, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$44.5 million.

4 303. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
5 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$17.8 million for repurchases of its own
6 stock between November 1, 2023 and November 30, 2023.

7 304. According to the 2023 10-K, between December 1, 2023 and December 31, 2023,
8 the Company repurchased 704,434 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share
9 of approximately \$15.30, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$10.8 million.

10 305. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
11 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$5.1 million for repurchases of its own
12 stock between December 1, 2023 and December 31, 2023.

13 306. According to the Q1 2024 10-Q, between January 1, 2024 and January 31, 2024,
14 the Company repurchased 5,330,167 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share
15 of approximately \$16.13, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$86 million.

16 307. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
17 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$43.3 million for repurchases of its own
18 stock between January 1, 2024 and January 31, 2024.

19 308. According to the Q1 2024 10-Q, between February 1, 2024 and February 28, 2024,
20 the Company repurchased 2,399,072 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share
21 of approximately \$15.45, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$37.1 million.

22 309. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
23 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$17.8 million for repurchases of its own
24 stock between February 1, 2024 and February 28, 2024.

25 310. According to the Q1 2024 10-Q, between March 1, 2024 and March 31, 2024, the
26 Company repurchased 1,906,662 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
27

1 approximately \$15.86, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$30.2 million.

2 311. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
3 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$15 million for repurchases of its own
4 stock between March 1, 2024 and March 31, 2024.

5 312. According to the Q2 2024 10-Q, between April 1, 2024 and April 30, 2024, the
6 Company repurchased 2,952,427 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
7 approximately \$15.80, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$46.6 million.

8 313. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
9 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$23 million for repurchases of its own
10 stock between April 1, 2024 and April 30, 2024.

11 314. According to the Q2 2024 10-Q, between May 1, 2024 and May 31, 2024, the
12 Company repurchased 4,705,212 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
13 approximately \$13.22, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$62.2 million.

14 315. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
15 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$24.5 million for repurchases of its own
16 stock between May 1, 2024 and May 31, 2024.

17 316. According to the Q2 2024 10-Q, between June 1, 2024 and June 30, 2024, the
18 Company repurchased 3,155,285 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of
19 approximately \$12.27, for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$38.7 million.

20 317. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
21 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$13.4 million for repurchases of its own
22 stock between June 1, 2024 and June 30, 2024.

23 318. According to the quarterly report on Form 10-Q the Company filed with the SEC
24 on November 12, 2024, between July 1, 2024 and July 31, 2024, the Company repurchased
25 1,750,537 shares of its own common stock at an average price per share of approximately \$11.93,
26 for a total cost to the Company of approximately \$20.9 million.

1 319. As the Company's stock was actually worth only \$8.01, the price at closing on
2 August 6, 2024, the Company overpaid by approximately \$6.9 million for repurchases of its own
3 stock between July 1, 2024 and July 31, 2024.

4 320. Thus, in total, during the Relevant Period, the Company overpaid for repurchases
5 of its own stock by *over \$368.9 million*.

6 **DAMAGES TO ZOOMINFO**

7 321. As a direct and proximate result of the Individual Defendants' misconduct,
8 ZoomInfo has lost and expended, and will continue to lose and expend, many millions of dollars.

9 322. Such expenditures include, but are not limited to, legal fees, costs, and any
10 payments for resolution of or to satisfy a judgment associated with the Securities Class Action,
11 and amounts paid to outside lawyers, accountants, and investigators in connection thereto.

12 323. Such expenditures include the amounts that the Individual Defendants caused the
13 Company to overpay for repurchases of its own stock while the stock price was artificially inflated
14 as a result of the false and misleading statements alleged herein.

15 324. Such expenditures also include, but are not limited to, fees, costs, and any payments
16 for resolution of or to satisfy judgments associated with any other lawsuits filed against the
17 Company or the Individual Defendants based on the misconduct alleged herein, and amounts paid
18 to outside lawyers, accountants, and investigators in connection thereto.

19 325. Such expenditures will also include costs incurred in any internal investigations
20 pertaining to violations of law, costs incurred in defending any investigations or legal actions taken
21 against the Company due to its violations of law, and payments of any fines or settlement amounts
22 associated with the Company's violations.

23 326. Such losses include the Company's overpayment of over \$368.9 million for
24 repurchases of its own stock during the period when the Company's stock price was artificially
25 inflated due to the false and misleading statements discussed above.

26 327. Additionally, these expenditures include, but are not limited to, unjust
27

1 compensation, benefits, and other payments provided to the Individual Defendants who breached
2 their fiduciary duties to the Company.

3 328. As a direct and proximate result of the Individual Defendants' conduct, ZoomInfo
4 has also suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of reputation and goodwill, and a "liar's
5 discount" that will plague the Company's stock in the future due to the Company's and their
6 misrepresentations and the Individual Defendants' breaches of fiduciary duties and unjust
7 enrichment.

8 **DERIVATIVE ALLEGATIONS**

9 329. Plaintiff brings this action derivatively and for the benefit of ZoomInfo to redress
10 injuries suffered, and to be suffered, as a result of the Individual Defendants' breaches of their
11 fiduciary duties as directors and/or officers of ZoomInfo, violations of the Exchange Act, unjust
12 enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, and waste of corporate assets.

13 330. ZoomInfo is named solely as a nominal party in this action. This is not a collusive
14 action to confer jurisdiction on this Court that it would not otherwise have.

15 331. Plaintiff is, and has been at all relevant times, a shareholder of ZoomInfo. Plaintiff
16 will adequately and fairly represent the interests of ZoomInfo in enforcing and prosecuting his
17 rights, and, to that end, has retained competent counsel, experienced in derivative litigation, to
18 enforce and prosecute this action.

19 **DEMAND FUTILITY ALLEGATIONS**

20 332. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation stated
21 above as if fully set forth herein.

22 333. A pre-suit demand on the Board is futile and, therefore, excused. At the time of
23 filing of this action, the Board consists of the following nine individuals: Defendants Schuck,
24 Enright, Evans, Gleeson, Mader, McCarter, and Winn (the "Director-Defendants") and non-parties
25 Domenic Maida and Owen Wurzbacher (collectively with the Director-Defendants, the
26 "Directors"). Plaintiff needs only to allege demand futility as to five of the nine Directors who are
27

1 on the Board at the time this action is commenced.

2 334. Demand is excused as to all of the Director-Defendants because each one of them
3 faces, individually and collectively, a substantial likelihood of liability as a result of the scheme
4 they engaged in knowingly or recklessly to make and/or cause the Company to make false and
5 misleading statements and omissions of material facts, and, at the same time, to cause the Company
6 to overpay by over \$368.9 million for repurchases of its own stock, all of which renders the
7 Director-Defendants unable to impartially investigate the charges and decide whether to pursue
8 action against themselves and the other perpetrators of the scheme.

9 335. In complete abdication of their fiduciary duties, the Director-Defendants either
10 knowingly or recklessly caused or permitted ZoomInfo to issue materially false and misleading
11 statements. Specifically, the Director-Defendants caused ZoomInfo to issue false and misleading
12 statements which were intended to make ZoomInfo appear more profitable and attractive to
13 investors. Moreover, the Director-Defendants caused the Company to fail to maintain internal
14 controls. As a result of the foregoing, the Director-Defendants breached their fiduciary duties, face
15 a substantial likelihood of liability, are not disinterested, and demand upon them is futile, and thus
16 excused.

17 336. Additional reasons that demand on Defendant Schuck is futile follow. Defendant
18 Schuck has served as the CEO and Chairman of the Board of the Company since co-founding the
19 Company in November 2019. The Company provides Defendant Schuck with his principal
20 occupation, for which he receives handsome compensation. Thus, as the Company admits, he is a
21 non-independent director. Defendant Schuck solicited the false and misleading 2022 Proxy
22 Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendant Mader to the Board, allowing him to continue
23 breaching his fiduciary duties to the Company. Defendant Schuck also solicited the false and
24 misleading 2023 Proxy Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendants Crockett, McCarter,
25 and Winn to the Board, allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company.
26 Further, Defendant Schuck solicited the false and misleading 2024 Proxy Statement, which led to
27

1 the reelection of Defendant Enright and himself to the Board, allowing them to continue breaching
2 their fiduciary duties to the Company. As the trusted CEO, he conducted little, if any, oversight of
3 the Company's engagement in the scheme to make false and misleading statements, consciously
4 disregarded his duties to monitor such controls over reporting and engagement in the scheme, and
5 consciously disregarded his duties to protect corporate assets. In addition, during the Relevant
6 Period, he failed to correct the false and misleading statements alleged herein and personally made
7 many of the false and misleading statements alleged herein. He is also a defendant in the Securities
8 Class Action. Moreover, Defendant Schuck's insider sales made while the Company's stock price
9 was artificially inflated as a result of the false and misleading statements alleged herein further
10 demonstrates his motive to participate in the scheme. For these reasons, too, Defendant Schuck
11 breached his fiduciary duties, faces a substantial likelihood of liability, is not independent or
12 disinterested, and thus demand upon him is futile and, therefore, excused.

13 337. Additional reasons that demand on Defendant Enright is futile follow. Defendant
14 Enright has served as a Company director since March 2020 and also serves as the Chair of the
15 Privacy, Security and Technology Committee and as a member of the Audit Committee. Defendant
16 Enright received and continues to receive handsome compensation for his role as a Company
17 director. Defendant Enright solicited the false and misleading 2022 Proxy Statement, which led to
18 the reelection of Defendant Mader to the Board, allowing him to continue breaching his fiduciary
19 duties to the Company. Defendant Enright also solicited the false and misleading 2023 Proxy
20 Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendants Crockett, McCarter, and Winn to the Board,
21 allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company. Further, Defendant
22 Enright solicited the false and misleading 2024 Proxy Statement, which led to the reelection of
23 Defendant Schuck and himself to the Board, allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary
24 duties to the Company. As a trusted-long time Company director, he conducted little, if any,
25 oversight of the Company's engagement in the scheme to make false and misleading statements,
26 consciously disregarded his duties to monitor such controls over reporting and engagement in the
27

1 scheme, and consciously disregarded his duties to protect corporate assets. Moreover, Defendant
2 Enright's insider sales made while the Company's stock price was artificially inflated as a result
3 of the false and misleading statements alleged herein further demonstrates his motive to participate
4 in the scheme. For these reasons, too, Defendant Enright breached his fiduciary duties, faces a
5 substantial likelihood of liability, is not independent or disinterested, and thus demand upon him
6 is futile and, therefore, excused.

7 338. Additional reasons that demand on Defendant Evans is futile follow. Defendant
8 Evans has served as a Company director since February 2020 and also serves as the Chair of the
9 Audit Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee. Defendant Evans received
10 and continues to receive handsome compensation for her role as a Company director. Defendant
11 Evans solicited the false and misleading 2022 Proxy Statement, which led to the reelection of
12 Defendant Mader to the Board, allowing him to continue breaching his fiduciary duties to the
13 Company. Defendant Evans also solicited the false and misleading 2023 Proxy Statement, which
14 led to the reelection of Defendants Crockett, McCarter, and Winn to the Board, allowing them to
15 continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company. Further, Defendant Evans solicited the
16 false and misleading 2024 Proxy Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendants Enright and
17 Schuck to the Board, allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company.
18 As a trusted-long time Company director, she conducted little, if any, oversight of the Company's
19 engagement in the scheme to make false and misleading statements, consciously disregarded her
20 duties to monitor such controls over reporting and engagement in the scheme, and consciously
21 disregarded her duties to protect corporate assets. For these reasons, too, Defendant Evans
22 breached her fiduciary duties, faces a substantial likelihood of liability, is not independent or
23 disinterested, and thus demand upon her is futile and, therefore, excused.

24 339. Additional reasons that demand on Defendant Gleeson is futile follow. Defendant
25 Gleeson has served as a Company director since July 2022. She also serves as a member of the
26 Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Defendant Gleeson received and continues to
27

1 receive handsome compensation for her role as a Company director. Defendant Gleeson also
2 solicited the false and misleading 2023 Proxy Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendants
3 Crockett, McCarter, and Winn to the Board, allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary
4 duties to the Company. Further, Defendant Gleeson solicited the false and misleading 2024 Proxy
5 Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendants Enright and Schuck to the Board, allowing
6 them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company. As a trusted-long time Company
7 director, she conducted little, if any, oversight of the Company's engagement in the scheme to
8 make false and misleading statements, consciously disregarded her duties to monitor such controls
9 over reporting and engagement in the scheme, and consciously disregarded her duties to protect
10 corporate assets. For these reasons, too, Defendant Gleeson breached her fiduciary duties, faces a
11 substantial likelihood of liability, is not independent or disinterested, and thus demand upon her is
12 futile and, therefore, excused.

13 340. Additional reasons that demand on Defendant Mader is futile follow. Defendant
14 Mader has served as a Company director since February 2020. He also serves as a member of the
15 Audit Committee. Defendant Mader received and continues to receive handsome compensation
16 for his role as a Company director. Defendant Mader solicited the false and misleading 2022 Proxy
17 Statement, which led to his reelection to the Board, allowing him to continue breaching his
18 fiduciary duties to the Company. Defendant Mader also solicited the false and misleading 2023
19 Proxy Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendants Crockett, McCarter, and Winn to the
20 Board, allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company. Further,
21 Defendant Mader solicited the false and misleading 2024 Proxy Statement, which led to the
22 reelection of Defendants Enright and Schuck to the Board, allowing them to continue breaching
23 their fiduciary duties to the Company. As a trusted-long time Company director, he conducted
24 little, if any, oversight of the Company's engagement in the scheme to make false and misleading
25 statements, consciously disregarded his duties to monitor such controls over reporting and
26 engagement in the scheme, and consciously disregarded his duties to protect corporate assets.

Moreover, Defendant Mader's insider sales made while the Company's stock price was artificially inflated as a result of the false and misleading statements alleged herein further demonstrates his motive to participate in the scheme. For these reasons, too, Defendant Mader breached his fiduciary duties, faces a substantial likelihood of liability, is not independent or disinterested, and thus demand upon him is futile and, therefore, excused.

341. Additional reasons that demand on Defendant McCarter is futile follow. Defendant McCarter has served as a Company director since February 2020 and also serves as the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee. Defendant McCarter received and continues to receive handsome compensation for his role as a Company director. Defendant McCarter also solicited the false and misleading 2023 Proxy Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendants Crockett, Winn, and himself to the Board, allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company. Further, Defendant McCarter solicited the false and misleading 2024 Proxy Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendants Enright and Schuck to the Board, allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company. As a trusted-long time Company director, he conducted little, if any, oversight of the Company's engagement in the scheme to make false and misleading statements, consciously disregarded his duties to monitor such controls over reporting and engagement in the scheme, and consciously disregarded his duties to protect corporate assets. For these reasons, too, Defendant McCarter breached his fiduciary duties, faces a substantial likelihood of liability, is not independent or disinterested, and thus demand upon him is futile and, therefore, excused.

342. Additional reasons that demand on Defendant Winn is futile follow. Defendant Winn has served as a Company director since February 2020 and also serves as the Chair of the Compensation Committee and as a member of the Privacy, Security and Technology Committee. Defendant Winn received and continues to receive handsome compensation for his role as a Company director. Defendant Winn solicited the false and misleading 2022 Proxy Statement,

1 which led to the reelection of Defendant Mader to the Board, allowing him to continue breaching
2 his fiduciary duties to the Company. Defendant Winn also solicited the false and misleading 2023
3 Proxy Statement, which led to the reelection of Defendants Crockett, McCarter, and himself to the
4 Board, allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company. Further,
5 Defendant Winn solicited the false and misleading 2024 Proxy Statement, which led to the
6 reelection of Defendants Enright and Schuck to the Board, allowing them to continue breaching
7 their fiduciary duties to the Company. As a trusted-long time Company director, he conducted
8 little, if any, oversight of the Company's engagement in the scheme to make false and misleading
9 statements, consciously disregarded his duties to monitor such controls over reporting and
10 engagement in the scheme, and consciously disregarded his duties to protect corporate assets. For
11 these reasons, too, Defendant Winn breached his fiduciary duties, faces a substantial likelihood of
12 liability, is not independent or disinterested, and thus demand upon him is futile and, therefore,
13 excused.

14 343. Additional reasons that demand on the Board is futile follow.

15 344. Defendants Evans (as current Chair), Enright, and Mader (the "Audit Committee
16 Defendants") served as members of the Audit Committee at all relevant times. As such, they were
17 responsible for the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls, the truth and accuracy of the
18 Company's financial statements, and the Company's compliance with applicable laws and
19 regulations. During the Relevant Period, they violated the Audit Committee Charter by engaging
20 in or permitting the Company to engage in the dissemination of materially false and misleading
21 statements to the public and to facilitate the Individual Defendants' violations of law, including
22 breaches of fiduciary duty and violations of the Exchange Act; failed to adequately exercise their
23 risk management and risk assessment functions; and failed to ensure adequate Board oversight of
24 the Company's internal control over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures, and
25 the Audit Committee Charter. Thus, the Audit Committee Defendants breached their fiduciary
26 duties, are not independent or disinterested, and thus demand is excused as to them.

1 345. All the Director-Defendants breached the duty of candor by making, or causing the
2 Company to make, false and misleading statements regarding the Company's business, operations,
3 and prospects, despite having knowledge of the falsity of those statements. The Director-
4 Defendants may not be indemnified for breaching the duty of candor. As a result, all the Director-
5 Defendants face a substantial likelihood of liability and cannot evaluate a demand with disinterest.
6 Therefore, demand is futile, and thus, excused.

7 346. In violation of the Code of Conduct, the Director-Defendants conducted little, if
8 any, oversight of the Company's engagement in the Individual Defendants' scheme to issue
9 materially false and misleading statements to the public and to facilitate and disguise the Individual
10 Defendants' violations of law, including breaches of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, waste of
11 corporate assets, gross mismanagement, abuse of control, and violations of the Exchange Act. In
12 further violation of the Code of Conduct, the Director-Defendants failed to comply with laws and
13 regulations, maintain the accuracy of Company records and reports, avoid conflicts of interest, and
14 conduct business in an honest and ethical manner. Thus, the Director-Defendants face a substantial
15 likelihood of liability, and demand is futile as to them.

16 347. The Director-Defendants' conduct described herein and summarized above could
17 not have been the product of legitimate business judgment as it was based on bad faith and
18 intentional, reckless, or disloyal misconduct. Thus, none of the Director-Defendants can claim
19 exculpation from their violations of duty pursuant to the Company's charter (to the extent such a
20 provision exists). As a majority of the Director-Defendants face a substantial likelihood of liability,
21 they are self-interested in the transactions challenged herein and cannot be presumed to be capable
22 of exercising independent and disinterested judgment about whether to pursue this action on behalf
23 of the shareholders of the Company. Accordingly, demand is excused as being futile.

24 348. ZoomInfo has been and will continue to be exposed to significant losses due to the
25 wrongdoing complained of herein, yet the Directors have not filed any lawsuits against the
26 Individual Defendants or others who were responsible for that wrongful conduct to attempt to
27

1 recover for ZoomInfo any part of the damages ZoomInfo suffered and will continue to suffer
2 thereby. Thus, any demand upon the Directors would be futile.

3 349. The acts complained of herein constitute violations of fiduciary duties owed by
4 ZoomInfo's officers and directors, and these acts are incapable of ratification.

5 350. The Directors may also be protected against personal liability for their acts of
6 mismanagement and breaches of fiduciary duty alleged herein by directors' and officers' liability
7 insurance if they caused the Company to purchase it for their protection with corporate funds, i.e.,
8 monies belonging to the shareholders of ZoomInfo. If there is a directors' and officers' liability
9 insurance policy covering the Directors, it may contain provisions that eliminate coverage for any
10 action brought directly by the Company against the Directors, known as, *inter alia*, the "insured-
11 versus-insured exclusion." As a result, if the Directors were to sue themselves or certain of the
12 officers of ZoomInfo, there would be no directors' and officers' insurance protection. Accordingly,
13 the Directors cannot be expected to bring such a suit. On the other hand, if the suit is brought
14 derivatively, as this action is brought, such insurance coverage, if such an insurance policy exists,
15 will provide a basis for the Company to effectuate a recovery. Thus, demand on the Directors is
16 futile and, therefore, excused.

17 351. If there is no directors' and officers' liability insurance, then the Directors will not
18 cause ZoomInfo to sue the Individual Defendants named herein, since, if they did, they would face
19 a large uninsured individual liability. Accordingly, demand is futile in that event, as well.

20 352. Thus, for all of the reasons set forth above, all of the Directors, and, if not all of
21 them, at least five of the Directors, cannot consider a demand with disinterestedness and
22 independence. Consequently, a demand upon the Board is excused as futile.

23 **FIRST CLAIM**

24 **Against the Individual Defendants for Violations of Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act**

25 353. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth
26 above, as though fully set forth herein.

27 354. Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78n(a)(1), provides that "[i]t shall

1 be unlawful for any person, by use of the mails or by any means or instrumentality of interstate
2 commerce or of any facility of a national securities exchange or otherwise, in contravention of
3 such rules and regulations as the [SEC] may prescribe as necessary or appropriate in the public
4 interest or for the protection of investors, to solicit or to permit the use of his name to solicit any
5 proxy or consent or authorization in respect of any security (other than an exempted security)
6 registered pursuant to section 12 of this title [15 U.S.C. § 78I].”

7 355. Rule 14a-9, promulgated pursuant to § 14(a) of the Exchange Act, provides that no
8 proxy statement shall contain “any statement which, at the time and in the light of the
9 circumstances under which it is made, is false or misleading with respect to any material fact, or
10 which omits to state any material fact necessary in order to make the statements therein not false
11 or misleading.” 17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-9.

12 356. The 2022 Proxy Statement was materially false and misleading and failed to
13 disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1) the Company’s financial results had been temporarily inflated by the
14 effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company’s
15 customers had less need for its platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer
16 base attempted to significantly reduce their use of the Company’s platform, or completely stop
17 using the platform; (4) to avoid this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of
18 manipulative and coercive auto-renewal policies that required clients to give at least 60 days’
19 notice prior to the end of a contract term for non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company’s customer
20 relations were irreparably harmed to the detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the
21 Company lacked internal controls. As a result of the foregoing, the Company’s public statements
22 were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

23 357. Moreover, 2022 Proxy Statement was also materially false and misleading because,
24 despite assertions to the contrary, the Company’s Code of Conduct was not followed, as evidenced
25 by the Individual Defendants (1) making and/or causing the Company to make the numerous false
26 and misleading statements and omissions alleged herein; and (2) failing to report violations of the
27

1 Code of Conduct. Further, the 2022 Proxy Statement was materially false and misleading because,
2 despite assertions to the contrary, the Board was not adequately performing its risk oversight
3 functions.

4 358. Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Mader, and Winn knew or
5 recklessly disregarded that by misrepresenting or failing to disclose the foregoing material facts,
6 the statements contained in the 2022 Proxy Statement were materially false and misleading. The
7 misrepresentations and omissions were material to Plaintiff in voting on the matters set forth for
8 shareholder determination in the 2022 Proxy Statement, including but not limited to, the re-election
9 of directors.

10 359. As a result of Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Mader, and
11 Winn causing the 2022 Proxy Statement to be false and misleading, Company shareholders voted,
12 *inter alia*, to re-elect Defendant Mader to the Board, thereby allowing him to continue breaching
13 their fiduciary duties to the Company.

14 360. The Company was damaged as a result of Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv,
15 Enright, Evans, Mader, and Winn's material misrepresentations and omissions in the 2022 Proxy
16 Statement.

17 361. The 2023 Proxy Statement was materially false and misleading and failed to
18 disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1) the Company's financial results had been temporarily inflated by the
19 effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company's
20 customers had less need for its platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer
21 base attempted to significantly reduce their use of the Company's platform, or completely stop
22 using the platform; (4) to avoid this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of
23 manipulative and coercive auto-renewal policies that required clients to give at least 60 days'
24 notice prior to the end of a contract term for non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company's customer
25 relations were irreparably harmed to the detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the
26 Company lacked internal controls. As a result of the foregoing, the Company's public statements
27

1 were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

2 362. Moreover, 2023 Proxy Statement was also materially false and misleading because,
3 despite assertions to the contrary, the Company's Code of Conduct was not followed, as evidenced
4 by the Individual Defendants (1) making and/or causing the Company to make the numerous false
5 and misleading statements and omissions alleged herein; and (2) failing to report violations of the
6 Code of Conduct. Further, the 2023 Proxy Statement was materially false and misleading because,
7 despite assertions to the contrary, the Board was not adequately performing its risk oversight
8 functions.

9 363. Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson, Mader, McCarter,
10 and Winn knew or recklessly disregarded that by misrepresenting or failing to disclose the
11 foregoing material facts, the statements contained in the 2023 Proxy Statement were materially
12 false and misleading. The misrepresentations and omissions were material to Plaintiff in voting
13 on the matters set forth for shareholder determination in the 2023 Proxy Statement, including but
14 not limited to, the re-election of directors.

15 364. As a result of Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson,
16 Mader, McCarter, and Winn causing the 2023 Proxy Statement to be false and misleading,
17 Company shareholders voted, *inter alia*, to re-elect Defendants Crockett, McCarter, and Winn to
18 the Board, thereby allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company.

19 365. The Company was damaged as a result of Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv,
20 Enright, Evans, Gleeson, Mader, McCarter, and Winn's material misrepresentations and omissions
21 in the 2023 Proxy Statement.

22 366. The 2024 Proxy Statement was materially false and misleading and failed to
23 disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1) the Company's financial results had been temporarily inflated by the
24 effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company's
25 customers had less need for its platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer
26 base attempted to significantly reduce their use of the Company's platform, or completely stop
27

1 using the platform; (4) to avoid this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of
2 manipulative and coercive auto-renewal policies that required clients to give at least 60 days'
3 notice prior to the end of a contract term for non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company's customer
4 relations were irreparably harmed to the detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the
5 Company lacked internal controls. As a result of the foregoing, the Company's public statements
6 were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

7 367. Moreover, the 2024 Proxy Statement was materially false and misleading because,
8 despite assertions to the contrary, the Board was not adequately performing its risk oversight
9 functions.

10 368. Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson, Mader, McCarter,
11 and Winn knew or recklessly disregarded that by misrepresenting or failing to disclose the
12 foregoing material facts, the statements contained in the 2024 Proxy Statement were materially
13 false and misleading. The misrepresentations and omissions were material to Plaintiff in voting on
14 the matters set forth for shareholder determination in the 2024 Proxy Statement, including but not
15 limited to, the re-election of directors.

16 369. As a result of Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv, Enright, Evans, Gleeson,
17 Mader, McCarter, and Winn causing the 2024 Proxy Statement to be false and misleading,
18 Company shareholders voted, *inter alia*, to re-elect Defendants Enright and Schuck to the Board,
19 thereby allowing them to continue breaching their fiduciary duties to the Company.

20 370. The Company was damaged as a result of Defendants Schuck, Crockett, Dhruv,
21 Enright, Evans, Gleeson, Mader, McCarter, and Winn's material misrepresentations and omissions
22 in the 2024 Proxy Statement.

23 371. Plaintiff, on behalf of ZoomInfo, has no adequate remedy at law.

24 **SECOND CLAIM**

25 **Against the Individual Defendants for Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act**

26 372. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth
27 above, as though fully set forth herein.

1 373. The Individual Defendants participated in a scheme to defraud with the purpose
2 and effect of defrauding ZoomInfo. Not only is ZoomInfo now defending claims that it violated
3 Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, but the Company itself
4 is also one of the largest victims of the unlawful scheme perpetrated upon ZoomInfo by the
5 Individual Defendants. With the price of its common stock trading at artificially inflated prices
6 due to the Individual Defendants' misconduct, the Individual Defendants caused the Company to
7 repurchase millions of its own shares on the open market at artificially inflated prices, damaging
8 ZoomInfo.

9 374. During the Relevant Period, the Individual Defendants also individually and in
10 concert, directly and indirectly, by the use and means of instrumentalities of interstate commerce
11 and/or of the mails, engaged and participated in a continuous course of conduct designed to falsify
12 the Company's press releases, public statements made in conference calls, and periodic and current
13 reports filed with the SEC.

14 375. The Individual Defendants employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud
15 while in possession of adverse, material, non-public information and engaged in acts, practices
16 and a course of conduct that included the making of, or participation in the making of, untrue and
17 misleading statements of material facts and omitting to state material facts necessary in order to
18 make the statements made about ZoomInfo not misleading.

19 376. The Individual Defendants, as top executives and directors of the Company, are
20 liable as direct participants in the wrongs complained of herein. Through their positions of control
21 and authority as directors and officers of the Company, the Individual Defendants were able to and
22 did control the conduct complained of herein and the content of the public statements disseminated
23 by ZoomInfo. The Individual Defendants acted with scienter during the Relevant Period, in that
24 they either had actual knowledge of the schemes and the misrepresentations and omissions of
25 material facts set forth herein, or acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed to
26 ascertain and to disclose the true facts, even though such facts were available to them. The
27

Individual Defendants were the top executives of the Company, or received briefings from them, and were therefore directly responsible for the schemes set forth herein and for the false and misleading statements and omissions disseminated to the public through press releases, conference calls, and filings with the SEC.

377. In addition to each of the Individual Defendants approving the issuance of the Company's false and misleading statements while they were serving as a senior executives and/or directors of the Company, as members of the Board, the Individual Defendants then serving as directors signed the Company's false and misleading statements filed with the SEC during the Relevant Period.

378. By virtue of the foregoing, the Individual Defendants have violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

379. Plaintiff, on behalf of ZoomInfo, has no adequate remedy at law.

THIRD CLAIM

Against the Individual Defendants for Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act

380. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.

381. The Individual Defendants, by virtue of their positions with ZoomInfo and their specific acts, were, at the time of the wrongs alleged herein, controlling persons of ZoomInfo within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The Individual Defendants had the power and influence and exercised the same to cause the Defendants to engage in the illegal conduct and practices complained of herein.

382. Plaintiff, on behalf of ZoomInfo, has no adequate remedy at law.

FOURTH CLAIM

Against the Individual Defendants for Breach of Fiduciary Duties

383. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.

384. Each Individual Defendant owed to the Company the duty to exercise candor, good

1 faith, and loyalty in the management and administration of ZoomInfo's business and affairs.

2 385. Each of the Individual Defendants violated and breached their fiduciary duties of
3 candor, good faith, loyalty, reasonable inquiry, oversight, and supervision.

4 386. The Individual Defendants' conduct set forth herein was due to their intentional or
5 reckless breach of the fiduciary duties they owed to the Company, as alleged herein. The Individual
6 Defendants intentionally or recklessly breached or disregarded their fiduciary duties to protect the
7 rights and interests of ZoomInfo.

8 387. In breach of their fiduciary duties owed to ZoomInfo, the Individual Defendants
9 willfully or recklessly made and/or caused the Company to make false and/or misleading
10 statements and/or omissions of material fact that failed to disclose, *inter alia*, that: (1) the
11 Company's financial results had been temporarily inflated by the effects of the COVID-19
12 pandemic; (2) as the pandemic began to subside, the Company's customers had less need for its
13 platform; (3) as a result, material portions of the existing customer base attempted to significantly
14 reduce their use of the Company's platform, or completely stop using the platform; (4) to avoid
15 this mass loss of retention, the Company began a series of manipulative and coercive auto-renewal
16 policies that required clients to give at least 60 days' notice prior to the end of a contract term for
17 non-renewal; (5) as a result, the Company's customer relations were irreparably harmed to the
18 detriment of future contract renewals; and (6) the Company lacked internal controls. As a result of
19 the foregoing, the Company's public statements were materially false and misleading at all
20 relevant times.

21 388. In further breach of their fiduciary duties, the Individual Defendants failed to
22 correct and/or caused the Company to fail to correct the false and/or misleading statements and/or
23 omissions of material fact, which renders them personally liable to the Company for breaching
24 their fiduciary duties.

25 389. In yet further breach of their fiduciary duties, during the Relevant Period, the
26 Individual Defendants willfully or recklessly caused the Company to repurchase millions of shares
27

1 of its own common stock at artificially inflated prices before the fraud was exposed, while five of
2 the Individual Defendants engaged in lucrative insider sales, netting proceeds of approximately
3 \$4.5 billion.

4 390. Also in breach of their fiduciary duties, the Individual Defendants caused the
5 Company to fail to maintain internal controls.

6 391. The Individual Defendants had actual or constructive knowledge that the Company
7 issued materially false and misleading statements, and they failed to correct the Company's public
8 statements and representations. The Individual Defendants had actual knowledge of the
9 misrepresentations and omissions of material facts set forth herein, or acted with reckless disregard
10 for the truth, in that they failed to ascertain and to disclose such facts, even though such facts were
11 available to them. Such material misrepresentations and omissions were committed knowingly or
12 recklessly and for the purpose and effect of artificially inflating the price of ZoomInfo's securities
13 and disguising insider sales.

14 392. These actions were not a good-faith exercise of prudent business judgment to
15 protect and promote the Company's corporate interests.

16 393. As a direct and proximate result of the Individual Defendants' breaches of their
17 fiduciary obligations, ZoomInfo has sustained and continues to sustain significant damages. As a
18 result of the misconduct alleged herein, the Individual Defendants are liable to the Company.

19 394. Plaintiff, on behalf of ZoomInfo, has no adequate remedy at law.

20 **FIFTH CLAIM**

21 **Against Individual Defendants for Unjust Enrichment**

22 395. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth
23 above, as though fully set forth herein.

24 396. By their wrongful acts, violations of law, and false and misleading statements and
25 omissions of material fact that they made and/or caused to be made, the Individual Defendants
26 were unjustly enriched at the expense of, and to the detriment of, ZoomInfo.

27 397. The Individual Defendants either benefitted financially from the improper conduct,

1 or received bonuses, stock options, or similar compensation from ZoomInfo that was tied to the
2 performance or artificially inflated valuation of ZoomInfo, or received compensation or other
3 payments that were unjust in light of the Individual Defendants' bad faith conduct. This includes
4 lavish compensation, benefits, and other payments provided to the Individual Defendants who
5 breached their fiduciary duties to the Company.

6 398. Plaintiff, as a shareholder and representative of ZoomInfo, seeks restitution from
7 the Individual Defendants and seeks an order from this Court disgorging all profits, including from
8 insider transactions, payments to the Individual Defendants, the redemption of preferred stock,
9 benefits, and other compensation, including any performance-based or valuation-based
10 compensation, obtained by the Individual Defendants due to their wrongful conduct and breach of
11 their fiduciary and contractual duties.

12 399. Plaintiff, on behalf of ZoomInfo, has no adequate remedy at law.

13 **SIXTH CLAIM**

14 **Against Individual Defendants for Abuse of Control**

15 400. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth
16 above, as though fully set forth herein.

17 401. The Individual Defendants' misconduct alleged herein constituted an abuse of their
18 ability to control and influence ZoomInfo, for which they are legally responsible.

19 402. As a direct and proximate result of the Individual Defendants' abuse of control,
20 ZoomInfo has sustained significant damages. As a result of the misconduct alleged herein, the
21 Individual Defendants are liable to the Company.

22 403. Plaintiff, on behalf of ZoomInfo, has no adequate remedy at law.

23 **SEVENTH CLAIM**

24 **Against Individual Defendants for Gross Mismanagement**

25 404. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth
26 above, as though fully set forth herein.

405. By their actions alleged herein, the Individual Defendants, either directly or through aiding and abetting, abandoned and abdicated their responsibilities and fiduciary duties with regard to prudently managing the assets and business of ZoomInfo in a manner consistent with the operations of a publicly-held corporation.

406. As a direct and proximate result of the Individual Defendants' gross mismanagement and breaches of duty alleged herein, ZoomInfo has sustained and will continue to sustain significant damages.

407. As a result of the misconduct and breaches of duty alleged herein, the Individual Defendants are liable to the Company.

408. Plaintiff, on behalf of ZoomInfo, has no adequate remedy at law.

EIGHTH CLAIM

Against Individual Defendants for Waste of Corporate Assets

409. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.

410. The Individual Defendants caused the Company to pay the Individual Defendants excessive salaries and fees to the detriment of the shareholders and the Company.

411. As a result of the foregoing, and by failing to properly consider the interests of the Company and its public shareholders, the Individual Defendants have caused ZoomInfo to waste valuable corporate assets while ZoomInfo suffered from undisclosed issues. The Individual Defendants' misconduct has caused the Company to incur many millions of dollars of legal liability and/or costs to defend unlawful actions and investigations and the Securities Class Action, to engage in internal investigations, and to lose financing from investors and business from future customers who no longer trust the Company and its products.

412. In addition, the Individual Defendants caused the Company to repurchase millions of shares of its own common stock at artificially inflated prices, thereby wasting the Company's assets.

413. As a result of the waste of corporate assets, the Individual Defendants are each liable to the Company.

414. Plaintiff, on behalf of ZoomInfo, has no adequate remedy at law.

NINTH CLAIM

Against Defendants Schuck and Hyzer for Contribution Under Sections 10(b) and 21D of the Exchange Act

415. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.

416. ZoomInfo and Defendants Schuck and Hyzer are named as defendants in the Securities Class Action, which asserts claims under the federal securities laws for violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. If and when the Company is found liable in the Securities Class Action for these violations of the federal securities laws, the Company's liability will be in whole or in part due to Defendants Schuck's and Hyzer's willful and/or reckless violations of their obligations as officers and/or directors of ZoomInfo.

417. Defendants Schuck and Hyzer, because of their positions of control and authority as officers and/or directors of ZoomInfo, were able to and did, directly and/or indirectly, exercise control over the business and corporate affairs of ZoomInfo, including the wrongful acts complained of herein and in the Securities Class Action.

418. Accordingly, Defendants Schuck and Hyzer are liable under 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), which creates a private right of action for contribution, and Section 21D of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f), which governs the application of a private right of action for contribution arising out of violations of the Exchange Act.

419. As such, ZoomInfo is entitled to receive all appropriate contribution or indemnification from Defendants Schuck and Hyzer.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

FOR THESE REASONS, Plaintiff demands judgment in the Company's favor against all Individual Defendants as follows:

(a) Declaring that Plaintiff may maintain this action on behalf of ZoomInfo, and that Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Company;

(b) Declaring that the Individual Defendants have breached and/or aided and abetted the breach of their fiduciary duties to ZoomInfo;

(c) Determining and awarding to ZoomInfo the damages sustained by it as a result of the violations set forth above from each of the Individual Defendants, jointly and severally, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon;

(d) Directing ZoomInfo and the Individual Defendants to take all necessary actions to reform and improve ZoomInfo's corporate governance and internal procedures to comply with applicable laws and to protect ZoomInfo and its shareholders from a repeat of the damaging events described herein, including, but not limited to, putting forward for shareholder vote the following resolutions for amendments to the Company's Bylaws or Certificate of Incorporation and the following actions as may be necessary to ensure proper corporate governance policies:

1. a proposal to strengthen the Board's supervision of operations and develop and implement procedures for greater shareholder input into the policies and guidelines of the Board;

2. a provision to permit the shareholders of ZoomInfo to nominate at least five candidates for election to the Board;

3. a proposal to ensure the establishment of effective oversight of compliance with applicable laws, rules, and regulations;

(e) Awarding ZoomInfo restitution from Individual Defendants, and each of them;

(f) Awarding Plaintiff the costs and disbursements of this action, including reasonable attorneys' and experts' fees, costs, and expenses; and

(g) Granting such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury

DATED: December 2, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC

/s/ Duncan C. Turner

Duncan C. Turner, WSBA No. 20597

19910 50th Avenue W., Suite 103

Lynnwood, WA 98036

Tel: (206) 621-6566

Email: dturner@badgleyturner.com

THE BROWN LAW FIRM, P.C.

Timothy Brown (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)

767 Third Avenue, Suite 2501

New York, NY 10017

Tel: (516) 922-5427

Facsimile: (516) 344-6204

Email: tbrown@thebrownlawfirm.net

Attorneys for Plaintiffs